



U.M.R. 7640 du C.N.R.S.

**An elliptic Neumann problem  
with critical nonlinearity  
in three dimensional domains**

Olivier Rey

N° 99 - 3

février 1999

F-91128 Palaiseau Cedex

Tél. : (33) 01 69 33 40 88 • Fax : (33) 01 69 33 30 19

Courrier électr. : [secret@math.polytechnique.fr](mailto:secret@math.polytechnique.fr)

<http://math.polytechnique.fr>

**Résumé.** On étudie une équation aux dérivées partielles elliptiques du second ordre avec conditions au bord de Neumann. Une analyse asymptotique améliorée permet d'établir en dimension 3 des résultats précédemment connus pour des dimensions supérieures : existence et multiplicité de solutions concentrées, en fonction des propriétés de la courbure moyenne de la frontière du domaine.

**Abstract.** We study an elliptic partial differential equation of second order with critical nonlinearity and Neumann boundary conditions. An improved asymptotic analysis allows us to prove in dimension 3 results previously known in larger dimensional spaces, i.e. existence and multiplicity of concentrated solutions in connection with properties of the mean curvature of the domain.

**Classification AMS.** 35J65

**Mots-clés.** *Equations elliptiques non-linéaires, exposant critique de Sobolev, problèmes variationnels avec défaut de compacité, conditions au bord de Neumann.*

**Keywords.** *Nonlinear elliptic equations, critical Sobolev exponent, variational problems with lack of compactness, Neumann boundary conditions.*

# An elliptic Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity in three dimensional domains

Olivier REY

Centre de Mathématiques École Polytechnique

U.M.R. 7640 du C.N.R.S.

91128 Palaiseau cedex

## 1 Introduction and Results

How strongly differentiated structures may spontaneously emerge from an homogeneous medium ? A mechanism of pattern formation in some biological phenomena has been identified, which relies on activator-inhibitor systems involving self-enhancement (auto-catalysis) and long range inhibition [19] (see also [15]). The evolution of activator and inhibitor concentrations is ruled by two coupled parabolic partial differential equations, leading to steady states which depend on a unique elliptic problem, writing as :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u &= u^p, u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega . \end{cases}$$

$\mu > 0$  and  $p > 1$  are constants involving the significant parameters as catalysis and diffusion rates. In the following, we assume that  $\Omega$  is a smooth and bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n = 3$ , possibly 2, are the more interesting cases in view of modelling). Setting  $\tilde{u}(x) = \mu^{\frac{1}{1-p}} u \left( \frac{x-x_\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right)$ , (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} &= \tilde{u}^p, \tilde{u} > 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\mu \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_\mu \end{cases}$$

with  $\Omega_\mu = \sqrt{\mu}\Omega + x_\mu$ . Consequently, the results that we shall establish concerning (1.1), which hold provided  $\mu$  is large enough, apply to (1.2) on sufficiently large domains.

In the subcritical case, i.e.  $n = 1, 2$  or  $n \geq 3$  and  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , we know that (1.1) has for small  $\mu$  a unique solution which is the constant  $\mu^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , whereas there exists, for  $\mu$  large enough, at least one nonconstant solution which concentrates at one point of the boundary as  $\mu$  goes to infinity [16] [17]. In the critical case, i.e.  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , studying (1.1) is more difficult since, from a variational point of view, the problem is noncompact. (Actually, the

results may be different : when  $\Omega$  is a ball and  $4 \leq n \leq 6$ , (1.1) has a nonconstant solution for any  $\mu > 0$  [6] [10].) On  $H^1(\Omega)$  we define the functional

$$(1.3) \quad I_\mu(u) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \mu \int_\Omega u^2}{(\int_\Omega |u|^{p+1})^{\frac{2}{p+1}}}$$

whose critical points  $u$  such that  $u \not\equiv 0$  and  $u$  has constant sign are, up to a multiplicative constant, solutions of (1.1).  $I_\mu$  does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition : there exist sequences of  $H^1(\Omega)$  along which  $I_\mu$  is bounded,  $I'_\mu$  goes to zero in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , and which do not contain any convergent subsequence in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . The concentration-compactness principle [18] provides us with a precise description of such sequences, from which it appears that  $I_\mu$ , globally noncompact, satisfies the Palais-Smale condition under the level  $S/2^{2/n}$ ,  $S$  denoting the Sobolev embedding constant

$$(1.4) \quad S = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u \not\equiv 0}} \frac{|u|_{H_0^1}^2}{|u|_{p+1}^2} = n(n-2) \left( \frac{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{2^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Using suitably chosen test-functions, Adimurthi and Mancini proved that the infimum of  $I_\mu$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  is strictly less than  $S/2^{2/n}$  [1]. As, on the other hand, the energy of the constant solution,  $I_\mu(u \equiv \mu^{\frac{1}{p-1}}) = \mu |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}$ , goes to infinity as  $\mu$  goes to infinity, (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution for  $\mu$  large enough (see also [25]). Since this first result, many works have refined our knowledge of the feature in the critical case. Adimurthi, Pacella and Yadava showed that for  $\mu$  large enough, a solution  $u_\mu$  of (1.1) with low energy, i.e.  $I_\mu(u_\mu) < S/2^{2/n}$ , achieves its supremum at a unique point  $y_\mu$  which lies on the boundary of the domain [4] (see also [20]). Up to a subsequence,  $y_\mu$  converges to some limit  $y^0 \in \partial\Omega$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity, and along this subsequence

$$(1.5) \quad |\nabla u_\mu|^2 \rightharpoonup \frac{S^{n/2}}{2} \delta_{y^0} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow +\infty$$

in the sense of measures,  $\delta_{y^0}$  denoting the Dirac mass at  $y^0$ . It was also proved, in the case  $n \geq 7$  and  $u_\mu$  minimizes  $I_\mu$ , that the sequence  $(y_\mu)$  maximizes the mean curvature  $H$  of the boundary. If  $u_\mu$  is not a minimizer of  $I_\mu$ , the accumulation points of  $(y_\mu)$  are however critical points of  $H$  [5], result extended to the case  $n \geq 5$  by Z.Q.Wang [26].

Conversely, assuming that  $n \geq 7$  [4], or only  $n \geq 5$  [26], we know that for any  $y^0 \in \partial\Omega$  a strict local minimizer of  $H$ , with  $H(y^0) > 0$ , there exists for large  $\mu$  a low energy solution of (1.1) which concentrates at  $y^0$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity. The same result holds, assuming that  $n \geq 6$ , for  $y^0$  a nondegenerate critical point of  $H$ , with  $H(y^0) > 0$  [3] [23]. Moreover, if  $n \geq 5$  and  $a > 0$  is an isolated critical value of  $H$  which induces a difference of topology between the level sets of  $H$  (the relative homology  $H_*(H^{a+\delta}, H^{a-\delta})$  is nontrivial for  $\delta > 0$  small enough), there exists a solution  $u_\mu$  of (1.1) which, up to a subsequence, concentrates at a point  $y^0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $H(y^0) = a$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity [23].



Unfortunately, the arguments which settle these results do not allow to conclude in low dimensional spaces, whereas the three dimensional case seems of major interest. The same kind of behaviour is expected in any dimension, but some cautiousness is to be recommended, as it is shown by the qualitative difference which occurs between the cases  $n = 3$  and  $n \geq 4$ , concerning a similar problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions [9]. Unless on special domains with symmetries [28] [29], very few is known which holds for any  $n \geq 3$ , excepted the existence for large  $\mu$  of a nontrivial low energy solution, and some multiplicity results of such solutions related to geometric properties of the boundary. Actually, Adimurthi and Mancini proved that (1.1) has at least  $\text{cat}(H^+, \partial\Omega)$  nontrivial solutions for  $\mu$  large enough, with  $H^+ = \{y \in \partial\Omega / H(y) > 0\}$  [2] (see also [27]).

The aim of this paper is to ameliorate our understanding of the low energy solutions, in such a way that we may extend to every dimensions  $n \geq 3$  results previously known for  $n \geq 5, 6$  or  $7$ , and improve some other results as multiplicity of solutions in connection with topological and geometrical properties of the boundary. For sake of simplicity, we shall concentrate our attention on the most difficult case, that is  $n = 3$ , and we denote by  $(P)$  the corresponding problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mu u &= u^5, u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega . \end{cases}$$

We prove :

**Theorem 1.1**  $n = 3$ . Let  $u_\mu$  be a minimizer of  $I_\mu$  and, for  $\mu$  large enough,  $y_\mu \in \partial\Omega$  the unique point at which  $u_\mu$  achieves its maximum in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Then,  $H(y_\mu)$  goes to  $\bar{H} = \max_{y \in \partial\Omega} H(y)$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity.

Some additional informations about  $u_\mu$  may be obtained. Namely :

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Log} \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} - \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/3}} I_\mu(u_\mu) \right) = -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} - \text{Log} \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right)$$

$\gamma$  denoting the Euler constant, and

$$(1.7) \quad \text{Log}|u_\mu|_\infty \sim \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow +\infty .$$

Moreover, Cherrier [11] proved that for every  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C(\eta) > 0$ ,  $C(\eta) = O(\frac{1}{\eta})$  as  $\eta$  goes to zero, such that

$$(1.8) \quad \left( \frac{S}{2^{2/n}} - \eta \right) \left( \int_\Omega |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + C(\eta) \int_\Omega u^2 \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega) .$$

Actually, if  $n \geq 7$ , the best constant  $C(\eta)$  satisfies

$$C(\eta) = \frac{C_n \bar{H}^2}{\eta} + o\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow 0 .$$

with  $C_n > 0$  a constant which depends on  $n$  only [5]. If  $n = 3$ ,  $S = \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{2^{4/3}}$ ,  $\frac{S}{2^{2/n}} = \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4}$ , and we show :

**Proposition 1.1** *If  $n = 3$ , the best constant  $C(\eta)$  in (1.8) satisfies*

$$(1.9) \quad C(\eta) = \frac{\bar{H}^2}{4} \left( \text{Log} \eta + \text{Log} |\text{Log} \eta| + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma - \text{Log} 2\pi^{1/3} + O\left(\frac{1}{|\text{Log} \eta|}\right) \right)^2$$

as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ .

Conversely to Theorem 1.1, we prove :

**Theorem 1.2**  *$n = 3$ . Let  $y_0 \in \partial\Omega$  be a strict local maximum point of the mean curvature  $H$  of the domain boundary. For  $\mu$  large enough, there exists a low energy solution of (P), which concentrates at  $y_0$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity.*

Furthermore, denoting by  $f^c$  and  $f_c$  respectively the lower and upper level sets of a function  $f$ , i.e.

$$f^c = \{x \in \text{Dom}(f) / f(x) < c\} \quad f_c = \{x \in \text{Dom}(f) / f(x) > c\}$$

we state :

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $a > 0$  be an isolated critical value of the mean curvature  $H$  of  $\partial\Omega$ , such that the relative homology  $H_*(H_{a-\delta}, H_{a+\delta})$  is nontrivial, for any  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small. There exists, for  $\mu$  large enough, a low energy solution  $u_\mu$  of (P) which, up to a subsequence, concentrates at a point  $y_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $H(y_0) = a$ , as  $\mu$  goes to infinity.*

This statement extends to the case  $n = 3$  a result previously known for  $n \geq 5$  [23]. (We should also have  $H'(y_0) = 0$ , as it is proved for  $n \geq 6$ .) Note that the solution  $u_\mu$  that we find is such that

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Log}\left(\frac{3\pi}{8} - \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/3}} I_\mu(u_\mu)\right) \sim -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a} \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad \text{Log}|u_\mu|_\infty \sim \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{a} \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The same kind of arguments provides us with :

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $c > 0$ . For  $\mu$  large enough, (P) has at least as many nontrivial solutions as  $\text{cat}(H_{c_\mu}, H_c)$ , with  $c_\mu = c(1 + \frac{\rho_c}{\mu})$ , and  $\rho_c$  is some strictly positive constant which depends on  $c$  only.*

As a consequence, we recover the multiplicity result of [27] :

**Corollary 1.1** *For  $\mu$  large enough, (P) has at least as many solutions as  $\sup_{c>0} \text{cat}(H_c, \partial\Omega)$ .*

Indeed,  $\text{cat}(H_{c_\mu}, H_c) \geq \text{cat}(H_{c_\mu}, \partial\Omega)$ , and  $H_{c_\mu} \supset H_{2c}$  for  $\mu$  large enough. Then, for  $\mu$  large enough,  $(P)$  has at least as many solutions as  $\text{cat}(H_{2c}, \partial\Omega)$ .  $\text{cat}(H_{2c}, \partial\Omega)$  is an integer which is less than  $\text{cat}(\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega)$ , and  $\text{cat}(\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega)$  is finite since  $\partial\Omega$  is a compact manifold. Therefore, there exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that  $\sup_{c>0} \text{cat}(H_c, \partial\Omega) = \text{cat}(H_{2c_0}, \partial\Omega)$ , hence the result. From Theorem 1.4, we also derive:

**Corollary 1.2** *Assume that 0 is a regular value, or an isolated critical value of  $H$ . For  $\mu$  large enough,  $(P)$  has at least as many nontrivial solutions as  $\text{cat}(H^+, H^+)$ , with  $H^+ = H_0 = \{y \in \partial\Omega / H(y) > 0\}$ .*

Indeed, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H$  has no critical value in  $(0, \delta)$ . Then,  $\text{cat}(H_{c_\mu}, H_c) = \text{cat}(H^+, H^+)$  as soon as  $c_\mu < \delta$ . Choosing  $c$  such that  $0 < c < \delta$ ,  $c_\mu < \delta$  for  $\mu$  large enough and Corollary 1.2 follows.

The proof of the theorems relies on a precised asymptotic analysis of the functional  $I_\mu$  in a neighbourhood of the possible low energy solutions of  $(P)$ . We recall that the solutions of the problem

$$(1.12) \quad -\Delta u = u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$$

such that  $u \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , write as

$$(1.13) \quad U_{\lambda,y}(x) = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{(1 + \lambda^2|x - y|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

up to the multiplicative constant  $\bar{\alpha} = (n(n-2))^{\frac{n-2}{4}}$ . In the critical case  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , a blow up technique [14] shows that the low energy solutions of (1.1) are perturbations of the functions  $U_{\lambda,y}$ , with  $y \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\lambda$  goes to infinity as  $\mu$  goes to infinity [4]. Conversely, one may try to construct low energy solutions of (1.1) in a neighbourhood of the approximate solutions that the  $U_{\lambda,y}$ 's are. Unfortunately, in low dimensional spaces these functions are not good enough approximations of solutions to make a perturbation approach effective. We have

$$(1.14) \quad -\Delta \bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda,y} + \mu \bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda,y} - (\bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda,y})^p = \mu \bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda,y}.$$

In order to get better approximate solutions to the problem, we could subtract to  $\bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda,y}$  a function  $\psi$  which satisfies  $-\Delta \psi + \mu \psi = \mu \bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda,y}$  in  $\Omega$ , defined as

$$\psi(x) = \mu \bar{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} G_{\mu}(x, z) U_{\lambda,y}(z) dz$$

$G_{\mu}$  denoting the Green's function of the operator  $-\Delta + \mu$  on  $\Omega$  with Neumann boundary conditions. However, in view of further computations it is preferable to substitute to the integral definition of  $\psi$  an approximate function  $\varphi$  given explicitly. In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a unique tempered distribution  $E$  such that  $-\Delta E + \mu E = \delta_0$  [13], which is

$$(1.15) \quad E(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\pi|x|} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\sqrt{\mu}|x|)$$

where, according to standard notations [24],  $K_\alpha$  is a Bessel function

$$(1.16) \quad K_\alpha(t) = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \alpha \pi} (e^{i\alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} J_{-\alpha}(it) - e^{-i\alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} J_\alpha(it)) \quad \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$$

with

$$(1.17) \quad J_\alpha(t) = \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{2k}}{k! \Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}.$$

The definition of  $K_\alpha$  extends by continuity to the case  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $K_\alpha$  satisfies on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  the ordinary differential equation

$$(1.18) \quad t^2 K_\alpha'' + t K_\alpha' - (t^2 + \alpha^2) K_\alpha = 0.$$

Note that, for  $\alpha > 0$

$$(1.19) \quad K_\alpha(t) = C_\alpha t^{-\alpha} + O(t^{\min(-\alpha+1, \alpha)}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+$$

and we have

$$(1.20) \quad C_k = 2^{k-1} (k-1)! \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^*; \quad C_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} (2k)!}{2^{k+\frac{1}{2}} k!} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We set

$$(1.21) \quad \varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}} - \frac{\mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|)}{C_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right)$$

and

$$(1.22) \quad V_{\lambda, y, \mu}(x) = U_{\lambda, y}(x) - \varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu}(x).$$

A direct computation shows that

$$(1.23) \quad -\Delta \varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu} + \mu \varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |x-y|^{n-2}}$$

whence

$$(1.24) \quad -\Delta \bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + \mu \bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda, y, \mu} = (\bar{\alpha} U_{\lambda, y})^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \mu \bar{\alpha} \left( U_{\lambda, y} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |x-y|^{n-2}} \right).$$

$\bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda, y, \mu}$  will turn out to be a suitable approximation of the concentrated solutions that we look for. We notice that in the special case  $n = 3$  we are interested in throughout the sequel,  $\frac{n+2}{n-2} = 5$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} = 3^{1/4}$ ,  $\frac{n-2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$  and

$$(1.25) \quad \frac{K_{1/2}(t)}{C_{1/2}} = \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{t}} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

The next section is devoted to the parametrization of the variational problem in a neighbourhood of the approximate solutions of  $(P)$  that we defined. The study of the parametrized problem will lead to the proof of the theorems in Section 3. The arguments require some precise integral estimates which are established in appendix.

## 2 Parametrization of the variational problem

We proceed to a suitable parametrization of the variational problem in a neighbourhood of the approximate solutions. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set

$$(2.1) \quad B_{\varepsilon,\mu} = \left\{ (\alpha, \lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega / \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} < \alpha < 2\bar{\alpha}, \lambda > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon,\mu} = \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega) / \exists (\lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega, \lambda > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon}, |\nabla(u - \bar{\alpha}V_{\lambda,y,\mu})|_2 < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Note that according to the previous definitions, in the 3-dimensional case that we are interested in, we have

$$(2.3) \quad V_{\lambda,y,\mu}(x) = \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2|x - y|^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|}}{\lambda^{1/2}|x - y|}.$$

From now on, we assume that  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ , with  $\mu_0$  a strictly positive constant. We prove the following proposition :

**Proposition 2.1** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , and any  $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon,\mu}$ , the infimum.*

$$\inf_{(\alpha,\lambda,y) \in B_{4\varepsilon,\mu}} |\nabla(u - \alpha V_{\lambda,y,\mu})|_2$$

*is achieved at only one point, which lies in  $B_{2\varepsilon,\mu}$ .*

A similar proposition is stated in [23], whose proof is modelled on [8, Proposition 7]. We indicate in Appendix A in which way the arguments of [23] have to be modified to conclude in the present case. (As we shall see further, the largeness of  $\lambda > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon}$  and the smallness of  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} < \varepsilon$  ensure that  $V_{\lambda,y,\mu}$  given by (2.1) is a perturbation of  $U_{\lambda,y}$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .)

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  and  $y \in \partial\Omega$ , we define

$$(2.4) \quad E_{\lambda,y,\mu} = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) / \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla V_{\lambda,y,\mu} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial V_{\lambda,y,\mu}}{\partial \lambda} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial V_{\lambda,y,\mu}}{\partial \tau_i} = 0, i = 1, 2 \right\}$$

$(\tau_i)_{i=1,2}$  denoting an orthonormal system of coordinates on the tangent space to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $y$ . For  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ , Proposition 2.1 induces a map from the open subset  $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_0,\mu}$  of  $H^1(\Omega)$  to the manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \left\{ (\alpha, \lambda, y, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega \times H^1(\Omega) / (\alpha, \lambda, y) \in B_{2\varepsilon_0,\mu}, v \in E_{\lambda,y,\mu}, |\nabla v|_2 < \varepsilon \right\}$$

$(\alpha, \lambda, y) \in B_{2\varepsilon_0,\mu}$  being the unique point such that  $\inf_{(\alpha,\lambda,y) \in B_{4\varepsilon_0,\mu}} |\nabla(u - \alpha V_{\lambda,y,\mu})|_2$  is achieved, and  $v = u - \alpha V_{\lambda,y,\mu}$ . It is easily seen that this map is open, and induces a

diffeomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_0, \mu}$  and its image. This image contains, for  $\eta_0 > 0$  small enough, the open subset

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu = \left\{ (\alpha, \lambda, y, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega \times H^1(\Omega) / |\alpha - \bar{\alpha}| < \eta_0, \lambda > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\eta_0}, v \in E_{\lambda, y, \mu}, |\nabla v|_2 < \eta_0 \right\}.$$

Defining the functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu : \mathcal{N}_\mu &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (\alpha, \lambda, y, v) &\mapsto I_\mu(\alpha V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + v) \end{aligned}$$

$(\alpha, \lambda, y, v) \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$  is a critical point of  $J_\mu$  if and only if  $u = \alpha V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + v \in H^1(\Omega)$  is a critical point of  $I_\mu$ . The 0-homogeneity of  $J_\mu$  even leads to define the functional

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_\mu : \mathcal{O}_\mu &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (\lambda, y, v) &\mapsto I_\mu(V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + v) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{O}_\mu = \left\{ (\lambda, y, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega \times H^1(\Omega) / \lambda > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\eta'_0}, v \in E_{\lambda, y, \mu}, |\nabla v|_2 < \eta'_0 \right\}$$

and  $\eta'_0 > 0$  is a constant sufficiently small.  $(\lambda, y, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$  is a critical point of  $K_\mu$  if and only if  $u = V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + v \in H^1(\Omega)$  is a critical point of  $I_\mu$ . Then,  $\tilde{u} = (I_\mu(u))^{1/4}u$  satisfies

$$-\Delta \tilde{u} + \mu \tilde{u} = u^5 \text{ in } \Omega ; \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega .$$

### 3 Proof of the theorems

This proof will follow from the study of the functional  $K_\mu$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\mu$ , showing the existence, for  $\mu$  large enough, of  $(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, v_\mu) \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$  a critical point of  $K_\mu$  such that  $u_\mu = V_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, \mu} + v_\mu > 0$  in  $\Omega$  - whence a solution of (P).

For  $u, u'$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , we define the scalar product and the norm

$$(3.1) \quad \langle u, u' \rangle = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla u' + \mu \int_\Omega u u' \quad \|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}.$$

#### 3.1 Minimization of $K_\mu$ with respect to $v$

In this subsection, we prove that for  $\mu$  large enough,  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  small enough, and  $y \in \partial\Omega$ , there exists  $\bar{v} \in E_{\lambda, y, \mu}$  such that the partial derivative of  $K_\mu$  with respect to  $v$  vanishes. Moreover, we estimate the norm of  $\bar{v}$  in such a way that this term will appear as negligible in the expansion of  $K_\mu$ . According to (1.3) and (2.5), we have

$$K_\mu(\lambda, y, v) = \frac{\|V\|^2 + 2\mu \int_\Omega V v + \|v\|^2}{(\int_\Omega (V + v)^6)^{1/3}}$$

with  $V = V_{\lambda,y,\mu}$  for sake of simplicity. We write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega}(V+v)^6 &= \int_{\Omega} V^6 + 6 \int_{\Omega} V^5 v + 15 \int_{\Omega} V^4 v^2 + O(|v|_{H^1}^3) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} V^6 + O(|v|_{H^1})\end{aligned}$$

as  $|v|_{H^1}$  goes to zero, since  $V$  is bounded in  $L^6$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero - see estimate (C.3) in appendix. We have also

$$(3.2) \quad \mu \left| \int_{\Omega} V v \right| \leq \mu \left( \int_{\Omega} V^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} v^2 \right)^{1/2} = O \left( \frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\lambda^{1/2}} \|v\| \right)$$

according to estimate (C.2). Then, in a neighbourhood of  $v = 0$ ,  $K_{\mu}$  writes as

$$(3.3) \quad K_{\mu}(\lambda, y, v) = K_{\mu}(\lambda, y, 0) + f_{\lambda,y,\mu}(v) + Q_{\lambda,y,\mu}(v) + R_{\lambda,y,\mu}(v)$$

with (omitting the indices  $\lambda, y, \mu$ )

$$(3.4) \quad f(v) = 2 \left( \int_{\Omega} V^6 \right)^{-1/3} \left( \mu \int_{\Omega} V v - \ell(V) \int_{\Omega} V^5 v \right)$$

$$(3.5) \quad Q(v) = \left( \int_{\Omega} V^6 \right)^{-1/3} \left[ \|v\|^2 - 5 \ell(V) \int_{\Omega} V^4 v^2 - 4 \mu \left( \int_{\Omega} V^6 \right)^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} V v \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} V^5 v \right) + 8 \left( \int_{\Omega} V^6 \right)^{-1} \ell(V) \left( \int_{\Omega} V^5 v \right)^2 \right]$$

$$(3.6) \quad R(v) = O(\|v\|^2 |v|_{H^1})$$

where we set

$$(3.7) \quad \ell(V) = \left( \int_{\Omega} V^6 \right)^{-1} \|V\|^2.$$

Moreover, we easily verify that

$$(3.8) \quad R'(v) = O(\|v\|^2) \quad R''(v) = O(\|v\|)$$

in  $H^1(\Omega)$  equipped with  $\| \cdot \|$ , uniformly with respect to  $y \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , provided that  $\mu$  is large enough and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  is small enough. Concerning the behaviour of the linear form  $f$  and the quadratic form  $Q$ , we have :

**Lemma 3.1** *The norm of  $f_{\lambda,y,\mu}$  as a linear form on  $H^1(\Omega)$  equipped with the scalar product (3.1) verifies*

$$(3.9) \quad \|f_{\lambda,y,\mu}\| = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}\mu^{1/4}} + \frac{\mu^{1/2}}{\lambda}\right)$$

uniformly with respect to  $y \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\mu, \lambda$ , as  $\mu$  is large enough and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  small enough.

**Lemma 3.2** *The quadratic form  $Q_{\lambda,y,\mu}$  is coercive on  $H^1(\Omega)$  equipped with the scalar product (3.1), as  $\mu$  is large enough and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  is small enough, with a modulus of coercivity bounded from below by a strictly positive constant independent of  $y \in \partial\Omega, \lambda, \mu$ .*

Lemma 3.2 is proved in Appendix B. Before proving Lemma 3.1, we note that the derivative of  $K_\mu$  with respect to  $v$  vanishes if and only if  $g + Av + r(v) = 0$  in  $E_{\lambda,y,\mu}$ , with  $g, r(v) \in E_{\lambda,y,\mu}, A \in \mathcal{L}(E_{\lambda,y,\mu})$  such that

$$f(v) = \langle g, v \rangle \quad Q(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Av, v \rangle \quad R'(v) = \langle r(v), \cdot \rangle.$$

Applying the implicit theorem to the map

$$\begin{aligned} F : E_{\lambda,y,\mu} \times E_{\lambda,y,\mu} &\rightarrow E_{\lambda,y,\mu} \\ (h, v) &\mapsto h + Av + r(v) \end{aligned}$$

which verifies  $F(0,0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(h, v) = A + r'(v)$  invertible in a neighbourhood of  $(0,0)$  because of Lemma 3.2 and (3.8), we obtain :

**Proposition 3.1** *There exists  $\delta_0 > 0, \delta'_0 > 0$  such that, for  $\mu$  large enough, there exists a smooth map*

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega / \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} < \delta_0\} &\rightarrow E_{\lambda,y,\mu} \\ (\lambda, y) &\mapsto \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y) \end{aligned}$$

such that  $\bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)$  is the unique point  $v \in E_{\lambda,y,\mu}, \|v\| < \delta'_0$ , satisfying  $\frac{\partial K_\mu}{\partial v}(\lambda, y, \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)) = 0$  in  $T_{\lambda,y,\bar{v}_\mu} \mathcal{O}_\mu$ . Moreover

$$(3.10) \quad \|\bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)\| = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} \mu^{1/4}}\right)$$

uniformly with respect to  $y \in \partial\Omega$  as  $\mu, \lambda$  go to infinity,  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} < \delta_0$ .

We turn now to the proof of Lemma 3.1. Estimates (C.1) (C.2) (C.3) of Appendix C show that ( $V = V_{\lambda,y,\mu}$ )

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} V^6 = \frac{\pi^2}{8} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}\right) \quad \ell(V) = 3 + O\left(\frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)$$

as  $\mu$  goes to infinity and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero. We write ( $\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda,y,\mu}, U = U_{\lambda,y}$ )

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} V^5 v = \int_{\Omega} (U - \varphi)^5 v = \int_{\Omega} U^5 v + O\left(\int_{\Omega} U^4 \varphi |v| + \int_{\Omega} \varphi^5 |v|\right).$$

On one hand, Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding, (C.49) and (C.12) imply that

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} U^4 \varphi |v| \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} U^{24/5} \varphi^{6/5} \right)^{5/6} |v|_{H^1} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |v|_{H^1}\right)$$



and

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varphi^5 |v| \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi^6 \right)^{5/6} |v|_{H^1} = O \left( \frac{\mu^{5/4}}{\lambda^{5/2}} |v|_{H^1} \right).$$

On the other hand, from (1.24) we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} U^5 v = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{3} \left( -\Delta V + \mu V - \mu \left( U - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |\cdot - y|} \right) \right) v$$

whence, integrating by parts and using the fact that  $v \in E_{\lambda, y, \mu}$

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} U^5 v = \frac{1}{3} \left( - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} v + \mu \int_{\Omega} V v - \mu \int_{\Omega} \left( U - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |\cdot - y|} \right) v \right).$$

As a consequence, (3.4) (3.11) (3.12) (3.13) (3.14), imply that

$$(3.16) \quad f(v) = O \left( \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} v \right| + \frac{\mu^{5/4}}{\lambda} \left| \int_{\Omega} V v \right| + \mu \left| \int_{\Omega} \left( U - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |\cdot - y|} \right) v \right| + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |v|_{H^1} \right).$$

We have

$$(3.17) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} v \right| \leq C \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \right|^{4/3} \right)^{3/4} |v|_{H^1} = O \left( \frac{|v|_{H^1}}{\lambda^{1/2} \mu^{1/4}} \right)$$

using Hölder's inequality, the embedding of  $H^1(\Omega)$  in  $L^4(\partial\Omega)$  and (C.52), and

$$(3.18) \quad \mu \left| \int_{\Omega} \left( U - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |\cdot - y|} \right) v \right| \leq \mu \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| U - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |\cdot - y|} \right|^{6/5} \right)^{5/6} |v|_{H^1} = O \left( \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} |v|_{H^1} \right)$$

using Schwarz inequality, Sobolev embedding and (C.51). (3.16) (3.17) (3.18) (3.2) show that (3.9) holds, hence Lemma 3.1.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.1

Let us state the following proposition :

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $u_{\mu}$  be a low energy solution of (P), i.e.  $u_{\mu}$  solves (P) and  $I_{\mu}(u_{\mu}) < \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4}$ . For  $\mu$  large enough, there exist  $(\alpha_{\mu}, \lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega, \alpha_{\mu} \rightarrow 3^{1/4}, \lambda_{\mu} \sim \frac{(\max_{\partial\Omega} u_{\mu})^2}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda_{\mu}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , such that*

$$(3.19) \quad u_{\mu} = \alpha_{\mu} (V_{\lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu}, \mu} + \bar{v}_{\mu}(\lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu})).$$

The proof of this proposition is delayed until the end of this subsection.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** According to Proposition 3.1, there exist  $\bar{\mu} > 0$  and  $\bar{\eta} > 0$  such that the function

$$(3.20) \quad \tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda, y) = K_\mu(\lambda, y, \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y))$$

is well defined, for  $\mu > \bar{\mu}$ , on  $\{(\lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega / \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} < \bar{\eta}\}$ . From (3.3), (3.5) (3.6), (3.9) and (3.10), we deduce that

$$(3.21) \quad \tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda, y) = K_\mu(\lambda, y, 0) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

and estimate (C.4) provides us with the expansion

$$(3.22) \quad \tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda, y) = 2\pi^{1/3} \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} + 2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \frac{H(y)}{\lambda} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

Choosing  $\bar{y} \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $H(\bar{y}) = \max_{y \in \partial\Omega} H(y) = \bar{H}$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}$  such that  $\text{Log} \bar{\lambda} = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(\bar{y})} + \text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \tilde{K}_\mu(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{y}) = \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4} - \frac{\pi^{1/3}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \left( \bar{H} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

On the other hand, if  $u_\mu$  is a minimizer of  $I_\mu$ , for  $\mu$  large enough Proposition 3.2. applies and, according to (3.19) (3.20) (3.21) and (3.22)

$$I_\mu(u_\mu) = 2\pi^{1/3} \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} + 2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda_\mu} - \frac{H(y_\mu)}{\lambda_\mu} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda_\mu}{2\sqrt{\mu}} - \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_\mu\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda_\mu^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda_\mu^2} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda_\mu}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

As a consequence,  $H(y_\mu) \geq 0$  and, minimizing this expansion with respect to  $\lambda_\mu$ ,  $\sqrt{\mu}/\lambda_\mu$  going to zero, we find

$$(3.24) \quad I_\mu(u_\mu) \geq \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4} - \frac{\pi^{1/3}}{\sqrt{\mu}} \left( H(y_\mu) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_\mu)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Necessarily,  $I_\mu(u_\mu) \leq \tilde{K}_\mu(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{y})$ , whence, in view of (3.23) and (3.24)

$$\frac{H(y_\mu)}{\bar{H}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) \geq \exp\left(-2\sqrt{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{H}} - \frac{1}{H(y_\mu)}\right)\right).$$

This proves that

$$(3.25) \quad H(y_\mu) = \bar{H} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, as  $\lambda_\mu$  minimizes  $\tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda, y_\mu)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ , we obtain using (3.22)

$$(3.26) \quad \text{Log} \lambda_\mu = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} + \text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

(1.6) follows from (3.25) (3.26) and (3.22). (1.7) follows from (3.26) and Proposition 3.2.

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** We just proved that for  $\mu$  large enough,

$$I_\mu(u) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \mu \int_\Omega u^2}{(\int_\Omega u^6)^{1/3}} \geq I_\mu(u_\mu) = \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4} - \eta \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega)$$

with

$$\eta = \left( \frac{\pi^{1/3} \bar{H}}{\sqrt{\mu}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \right) \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right).$$

Then

$$\text{Log} \eta = -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} - \text{Log} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma + \text{Log} \pi^{1/3} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

This implies that  $\sqrt{\mu} = \frac{\bar{H}}{2} |\text{Log} \eta| (1 + \beta(\eta))$ , with  $\beta(\eta) = o(1)$  as  $\eta$  goes to zero, and we find

$$\beta(\eta) = \frac{1}{\text{Log} \eta} \left( \text{Log} |\text{Log} \eta| + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma - \text{Log} 2\pi^{1/3} + O\left(\frac{1}{|\text{Log} \eta|}\right) \right)$$

hence (1.9).

**Proof of Proposition 3.2.** Let  $u_\mu$  be a low energy solution of (P). i.e.  $u_\mu$  solves (P) and  $I_\mu(u_\mu) < \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4}$ . Since

$$(3.27) \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\mu|^2 + \mu \int_\Omega u_\mu^2 = \int_\Omega u_\mu^6$$

there exists  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $u_\mu(x) \geq \mu^{1/4}$ , and  $\sup_{\bar{\Omega}} u_\mu$  goes to infinity as  $\mu$  goes to infinity. From [4, Lemma 2.2], we know that this supremum is achieved at only one point  $y'_\mu \in \partial\Omega$ , and

$$(3.28) \quad |\nabla(u_\mu - 3^{1/4} U_{\lambda'_\mu, y'_\mu})|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty$$

with

$$(3.29) \quad \lambda'_\mu = \left( \frac{u_\mu(y'_\mu)}{3^{1/4}} \right)^2 \quad \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda'_\mu} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0.$$

(3.28) implies that  $|\nabla(u_\mu - 3^{1/4} V_{\lambda'_\mu, y'_\mu, \mu})|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , since

$$(3.30) \quad |\nabla(U_{\lambda, y} - V_{\lambda, y, \mu})|_2 = |\nabla \varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu}|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$$

as estimate (C.43) shows. Therefore, Proposition 2.1. applies and we may write

$$(3.31) \quad u_\mu = \alpha_\mu (V_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, \mu} + v_\mu)$$

with  $\frac{3^{1/4}}{2} < \alpha_\mu < 2 \cdot 3^{1/4}$ ,  $y_\mu \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $v_\mu \in E_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, \mu}$ ,  $|\nabla v_\mu|_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda_\mu} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Actually, in view of (3.28) (3.30) and (3.31), we have

$$|\nabla (\alpha_\mu U_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu} - 3^{1/4} U_{\lambda'_\mu, y'_\mu})|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{resp. } |\nabla (\alpha_\mu V_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, \mu} - 3^{1/4} V_{\lambda'_\mu, y'_\mu, \mu})|_2 \rightarrow 0)$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  whence, according to [23, Lemma A.1] (resp. Lemma A.1 in appendix)

$$(3.32) \quad \alpha_\mu \rightarrow 3^{1/4} \quad \lambda_\mu / \lambda'_\mu \rightarrow 1 \quad \lambda_\mu \lambda'_\mu |y_\mu - y'_\mu|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently

$$\lambda_\mu \sim \frac{(\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u)^2}{\sqrt{3}} \quad \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda_\mu} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that we have also  $\|v_\mu\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed,  $I_\mu(u_\mu) < \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4}$  and (3.27) imply that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\mu|^2 + \mu \int_{\Omega} u_\mu^2 < \frac{3^{3/2} \pi^2}{8}.$$

Then, (3.31) and estimate (C.1) show that

$$\alpha_\mu^2 \left( \frac{3\pi^2}{8} + o(1) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\mu|^2 \right) + \mu \int_{\Omega} u_\mu^2 < \frac{3^{3/2} \pi^2}{8}.$$

We know that  $\alpha_\mu \rightarrow 3^{1/4}$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , so

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} u_\mu^2 = o(1).$$

Estimate (C.2) shows that  $\mu \int_{\Omega} V_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, \mu}^2 = o(1)$ , therefore  $\mu \int_{\Omega} v_\mu^2 = o(1)$  and  $\|v_\mu\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, Proposition 3.1 shows that  $v_\mu = \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu)$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

### 3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Assuming that  $\mu$  is large enough and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  small enough, we look for critical points of  $\tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda, y) = K_\mu(\lambda, y, \bar{v}(\lambda, y))$ , which provide us with critical points of  $I_\mu$ . Such critical points are actually solutions of (P) :

**Proposition 3.3** *There exist  $\mu^* > 0$  and  $\eta^* > 0$  such that for any  $\mu > \mu^*$ ,  $\tilde{K}_\mu$  is well defined on  $\mathcal{K}_\mu = \{(\lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega / \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} < \eta^*\}$ , and if  $(\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{K}_\mu$  is a critical point of  $\tilde{K}_\mu$ , there exist  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $u = \alpha(V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y))$  is a solution of (P).*

**Proof :** If  $(\lambda, y)$  is a critical point of  $\tilde{K}_\mu$ ,  $\tilde{u} = V_{\lambda, y, \mu} + \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)$  is a critical point of  $I_\mu$ , i.e. there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(3.33) \quad -\Delta \tilde{u} + \mu \tilde{u} = \omega \tilde{u}^5 \text{ in } \Omega ; \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega .$$

Note that  $\tilde{u} \not\equiv 0$ , provided that  $\mu$  is large enough and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  is small enough, since  $\|\bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|V_{\lambda, y, \mu}\|^2 \rightarrow \frac{3\pi^2}{8}$  (see estimates (C.1) (C.2)) as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ . Multiplying the equation by  $\tilde{u}$  and integrating on  $\Omega$ , we find  $\omega = 3 + o(1)$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero, because of (C.1) (C.2) (C.3) and (3.10). Setting  $u = \omega^{1/4} \tilde{u}$ ,  $u$  satisfies

$$(3.34) \quad -\Delta u + \mu u = u^5 \text{ in } \Omega ; \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Omega .$$

Finally, let us show that  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . We multiply (3.33) by  $u^- = \max(0, -u)$  and integrate on  $\Omega$ . This yields

$$\|u^-\|^2 = |u^-|_6^6$$

On the other hand, Sobolev embedding theorem ensures that

$$\|u^-\|^2 \geq C |u^-|_6^2$$

with  $C$  a strictly positive constant. Thus, either  $u^- \equiv 0$ , or  $|u^-|_6 \geq C^{1/4}$ . Note that  $0 \leq u^- \leq \omega^{1/4}(\varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu} + |\bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)|)$ . As  $\varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu}$  and  $\bar{v}_\mu(\lambda, y)$  go to zero in  $L^6(\Omega)$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero,  $u^- \equiv 0$  provided that  $\mu > \mu^*$ ,  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} < \eta^*$ , with  $\mu^*$  and  $\eta^*$  two suitably chosen strictly positive constants. The strong maximum principle then implies that  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $u$  is a solution of (P).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** It is equivalent to consider, instead of  $\tilde{K}_\mu$

$$(3.35) \quad L_\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/3}} \tilde{K}_\mu - \frac{3\pi}{8} .$$

Let  $y_0 \in \partial \Omega$  be a strict local maximum point of  $H$ ,  $H(y_0) > 0$ . Then

$$(3.36) \quad \exists r > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall y \in V_r = \{y \in \partial \Omega, |y - y_0| < r\}, y \neq y_0 \Rightarrow H(y) < H(y_0) .$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu = \left\{ (\lambda, y)/y \in V_r, \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)} < \text{Log} \lambda < \frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)} \right\} .$$

Note that for  $\mu$  large enough,  $\mathcal{L}_\mu \subset \mathcal{K}_\mu$ . On the compact set  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\mu}$ , the continuous function  $L_\mu$  achieves its infimum. We claim that this infimum is not achieved on  $\partial \mathcal{L}_\mu$ . Indeed, in view of (3.22) we have on  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  the following expansion

$$(3.37) \quad \left| L_\mu(\lambda, y) - \left( 2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \frac{H(y)}{\lambda} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) \right) \right| \leq \frac{A}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}$$

for  $\mu$  large enough, and  $A$  is some positive constant. Let  $\lambda_0$  be such that

$$\text{Log} \lambda_0 = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)} + \text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{H(y_0)\sqrt{\mu}}.$$

For  $\mu$  large enough,  $(\lambda_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{L}_\mu$  and

$$(3.38) \quad L_\mu(\lambda_0, y_0) \leq -\frac{H(y_0)}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{H(y_0)\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

On the other hand, let  $(\lambda, y) \in \partial\mathcal{L}_\mu$ . Three cases are possible :

(i)  $\text{Log} \lambda = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)}$ . Then, (3.37) implies that for  $\mu$  large enough

$$L_\mu(\lambda, y) \geq \sqrt{\mu} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)}\right) > L_\mu(\lambda_0, y_0).$$

(ii)  $\text{Log} \lambda = \frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)}$ . In this case (3.37) yields

$$L_\mu(\lambda, y) \geq -\sqrt{\mu} \exp\left(-\frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)}\right) > L_\mu(\lambda_0, y_0) \quad \text{for } \mu \text{ large enough.}$$

(iii)  $|y - y_0| = r$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $H(y) < H(y_0) - \delta$ , so that, using (3.37)

$$L_\mu(\lambda, y) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 2\sqrt{\mu} - (H(y_0) - \delta) \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

For  $\text{Log} \lambda \in \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)}, \frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0)} \right)$ , the right hand side achieves its minimum at  $\bar{\lambda}$  such that  $\text{Log} \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{H(y_0) - \delta} (2\sqrt{\mu} - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}}) + \text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore

$$L_\mu(\lambda, y) \geq -\frac{H(y_0) - \delta}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_0) - \delta} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{(H(y_0) - \delta)\sqrt{\mu}}\right) > L_\mu(\lambda_0, y_0)$$

for  $\mu$  large enough.

Consequently, a point  $(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu)$  at which  $L_\mu$  achieves its minimum in  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\mu}$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  large enough, and is a critical point of  $\tilde{K}_\mu$ . According to Proposition 3.3, there exist  $\alpha_\mu$  close to  $3^{1/4}$  such that  $u_\mu = \alpha_\mu (V_{\lambda_\mu, y_\mu, \mu} + \bar{v}_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu))$  is a solution of  $(P)$ . Moreover, in view of (3.35)

$$I_\mu(u_\mu) = \tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu) = \frac{3\pi^{4/3}}{4} + 2\pi^{1/3} L_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu)$$

and

$$L_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu) \leq L_\mu(\lambda_0, y_0) < 0$$

as (3.38) shows. Then,  $u_\mu$  is a low energy solution of  $(P)$ . Noticing that  $|y_\mu - y_0| < r$ , and that  $r$  may be chosen as small as desired as  $\mu$  goes to infinity, we can impose  $y_\mu$  to go to  $y_0$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity, and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

### 3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $a > 0$  be an isolated critical value of  $H$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , such that  $H_*(H_{a-\delta}, H_{a+\delta})$  is nontrivial for any  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small. We fix  $c > 0$  such that  $a > c$ , and we set

$$\mathcal{L}'_\mu = \left\{ (\lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega / H(y) > c, \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\bar{H}} < \text{Log} \lambda < \frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{c} \right\}$$

with  $\bar{H} = \max_{\partial\Omega} H$ . We note that  $\mathcal{L}'_\mu \subset \mathcal{K}_\mu$  for  $\mu$  large enough. Therefore, a critical point of  $L_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{L}'_\mu$  provides us, through Proposition 3.3, with a solution of (P). The strategy consists in proving that the difference of topology between the level sets of  $H$  induces the existence of such a critical point. Noticing that the expansion (3.37) holds on  $\mathcal{L}'_\mu$ , we proceed as follows :

(i) We compute

$$(3.39) \quad \min_{\partial\mathcal{L}'_\mu} L_\mu \geq -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{c} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{c\sqrt{\mu}} \right) = b_\mu.$$

(ii) For  $y \in H_0 = H^+ = \{y \in \partial\Omega, H(y) > 0\}$ , we set

$$(3.40) \quad \text{Log} \lambda(y) = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y)} + \text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{H(y)\sqrt{\mu}}.$$

$\lambda(y)$  realizes the minimum of  $(\lambda, y) \mapsto 2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \frac{H(y)}{\lambda} (\text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma) + \frac{A}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}$  with respect to  $\lambda > 0$ . We notice that for  $\mu$  large enough,  $(\lambda(y), y) \in \mathcal{L}'_\mu$  for any  $y \in H_c$ . Then, for  $a - \delta > c$ , we prove the following properties :

$$(3.41) \quad \forall y \in H_{a-\delta} \quad L_\mu(\lambda(y), y) < a'_{\mu,\delta}$$

with

$$a'_{\mu,\delta} = -\frac{a-\delta}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a-\delta} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{(a-\delta)\sqrt{\mu}} \right);$$

$$(3.42) \quad \forall (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{L}'_\mu \quad L_\mu(\lambda, y) < a'_{\mu,\delta} \text{ implies that } y \in H_{a-2\delta};$$

$$(3.43) \quad \forall (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{L}'_\mu \quad L_\mu(\lambda, y) < a''_{\mu,\delta} \text{ implies that } y \in H_{a+\delta}$$

with

$$a''_{\mu,\delta} = -\frac{a+\delta}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a+\delta} - \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{(a+\delta)\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

We are now able to conclude. We first notice that for  $\mu$  large enough,  $a''_{\mu,\delta} < a'_{\mu,\delta} < b_\mu$ . Let us assume that  $L_\mu$  has no critical value between  $a''_{\mu,\delta}$  and  $a'_{\mu,\delta}$ . Then, using the decreasing flow associated to the gradient of  $L_\mu$ , the level set  $L_\mu^{a'_{\mu,\delta}} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu$  retracts by

deformation onto the level set  $L_{\mu}^{a''_{\mu,\delta}} \cap \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$  (since  $a'_{\mu,\delta} < b_{\mu}$ , we know that the orbits starting from  $L_{\mu}^{a'_{\mu,\delta}} \cap \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$  do not intersect  $\partial \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$ ). In view of (3.41), we consider the subset of  $L_{\mu}^{a'_{\mu,\delta}} \cap \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$  defined as

$$\{(\lambda(y), y)/y \in H_{a-\delta}\}$$

and follow its deformation along the flow. According to (3.42),  $y$  remains in  $H_{a-2\delta}$ , and according to (3.43)  $y \in H_{a+\delta}$  as  $(\lambda, y) \in L_{\mu}^{a''_{\mu,\delta}} \cap \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$ . Since  $a$  is an isolated critical value of  $H$ ,  $H_{a-\delta}$  is a strong retract of  $H_{a-2\delta}$  for  $\delta$  small enough. Then, the composition of the flow with the projection  $(\lambda, y) \mapsto y$  onto  $\partial \Omega$  and the retraction of  $H_{a-2\delta}$  into  $H_{a-\delta}$  provides us with a continuous deformation of  $H_{a-\delta}$  into  $H_{a+\delta}$ , a contradiction with  $H_*(H_{a-\delta}, H_{a+\delta}) \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $L_{\mu}$  has a critical value between  $a''_{\mu,\delta}$  and  $a'_{\mu,\delta}$ .

If all the corresponding critical points  $(\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$  were such that  $y \in H_{a+\delta}$ , the previous argument would still apply, that is it would be possible to deform  $H_{a-\delta}$  into  $H_{a+\delta}$ . Therefore,  $L_{\mu}$  has a critical point  $(\lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu})$  such that  $a''_{\mu,\delta} \leq L_{\mu}(\lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu}) < a'_{\mu,\delta}$ , and  $H(y_{\mu}) \leq a + \delta$ . On the other hand, (3.42) implies that  $H(y_{\mu}) > a - 2\delta$ . As  $\mu$  goes to infinity,  $\delta$  may be chosen as small as desired, so that, for  $\mu$  large enough,  $L_{\mu}$  has a critical point  $(\lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu})$  such that  $H(y_{\mu})$  goes to  $a$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity. Hence, according to Proposition 3.3, the existence of a solution  $u_{\mu}$  of  $(P)$  which, up to a subsequence, concentrates at a point  $y_0 \in \partial \Omega$  such that  $H(y_0) = a$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity. (3.35) and  $L_{\mu}(\lambda_{\mu}, y_{\mu}) \leq a'_{\mu,\delta} < 0$  show that  $u_{\mu}$  is a low energy solution.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, it only remains to prove assertions (3.39) (3.41) (3.42) (3.43).

**Proof of (3.39).** Let  $(\lambda, y) \in \partial \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$ . Three cases are possible :

(i)  $\text{Log} \lambda = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H}$ . Then, using (3.37), we have

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H}} \left( \left(2 - \frac{H(y)}{H}\right) \sqrt{\mu} + H(y) \left(\text{Log} 2 \sqrt{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \geq 0$$

for  $\mu$  large enough.

(ii)  $\text{Log} \lambda = \frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{c}$ . In this case

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq e^{-\frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{c}} \left( \left(2 - \frac{3H(y)}{c}\right) \sqrt{\mu} + H(y) \left(\text{Log} 2 \sqrt{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \geq -\frac{3\bar{H}}{c} \sqrt{\mu} e^{-\frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{c}}$$

for  $\mu$  large enough.

(iii)  $H(y) = c$ . We have

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 2\sqrt{\mu} - c \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$



The right hand side achieves its minimum, for  $\lambda \in (e^{\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H}}, e^{\frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{c}})$ , at a point  $\bar{\lambda}$  such  $\text{Log } \bar{\lambda} = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{c} + \text{Log } 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{c\sqrt{\mu}}$ . Therefore, if  $(\lambda, y) \in \partial \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$  with  $H(y) = c$

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{c} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{c\sqrt{\mu}} \right) = b_{\mu}$$

and (3.39) holds, provided that  $\mu$  is large enough.

**Proof of (3.41).** According to (3.37) and (3.40), and assuming that  $H(y) > a - \delta$ , we have

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda(y), y) \leq -\frac{H(y)}{\lambda(y)} < -\frac{a - \delta}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a - \delta} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{(a - \delta)\sqrt{\mu}} \right) = a'_{\mu, \delta}.$$

**Proof of (3.42).** From (3.37), we know that

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 2\sqrt{\mu} - H(y) \left( \text{Log } \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \quad \forall (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}.$$

Minimizing the right hand side with respect to  $\lambda$ , we find

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq -\frac{H(y)}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{H(y)\sqrt{\mu}} \right) \quad \forall (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}.$$

Consequently,  $L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) < a'_{\mu, \delta}$  implies that

$$(a - \delta) \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a - \delta} - \frac{A}{(a - \delta)\sqrt{\mu}} \right) < H(y) \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y)} + \frac{A}{H(y)\sqrt{\mu}} \right)$$

whence  $H(y) > a - 2\delta$  for  $\mu$  large enough.

**Proof of (3.43).** From (3.37) we deduce that for  $(\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{L}'_{\mu}$

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 2\sqrt{\mu} - H(y) \left( \text{Log } \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

Minimizing the right hand side with respect to  $\lambda$ , we find

$$L_{\mu}(\lambda, y) \geq -\frac{H(y)}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{H(y)\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

The right hand side is, for large  $\mu$ , a strictly decreasing function of  $H(y)$ , hence (3.43).

**Remarks.**

1. We have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{K}_{\mu}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial K_{\mu}}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial K_{\mu}}{\partial v} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{\mu}}{\partial \lambda}.$$

Proceeding as in [23], we find

$$\frac{\partial K_\mu}{\partial v} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{v}_\mu}{\partial \lambda} = O\left(\frac{\text{Log } \lambda}{\lambda^2} \|\bar{v}_\mu\|\right)$$

and using the same arguments as in Subsection 3.1, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial K_\mu}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, y, \bar{v}_\mu) = \frac{\partial K_\mu}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, y, 0) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^3}\right).$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.10) and (C.47) that

$$(3.44) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{K}_\mu}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, y) = 2\pi^{1/3} \left( -2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} + \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^2} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^3} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^3} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

In the same way, proceeding as in [22, Appendix C], and using (C.48), we have

$$(3.45) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{K}_\mu}{\partial \lambda^2}(\lambda, y) = 4\pi^{1/3} \left( 2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^3} - \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^3} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} - \gamma - 1 \right) \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^4} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right)$$

or

$$(3.46) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{K}_\mu}{\partial \lambda^2}(\lambda, y) = -\frac{2}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \tilde{K}_\mu}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, y) + 2\pi^{1/3} \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^3} + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^4} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

From these formulae we deduce that for any  $y \in H_c$ , there is a unique  $\lambda \in \left( e^{\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H}}, e^{\frac{3\sqrt{\mu}}{c}} \right)$  such that  $\frac{\partial \tilde{K}_\mu}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, y) = 0$ , which minimizes  $\tilde{K}_\mu(\lambda, y)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ .

2. Since  $a''_{\delta, \mu} \leq L_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu) < a'_{\delta, \mu}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a-\delta} - \text{Log} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2(a-\delta)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{(a-\delta)\sqrt{\mu}} \\ & \leq \text{Log}(-L_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu)) \leq -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a+\delta} - \text{Log} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2(a+\delta)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{(a+\delta)\sqrt{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

whence, as  $\delta$  may be chosen as small as desired as  $\mu$  goes to infinity

$$\text{Log}(-L_\mu(\lambda_\mu, y_\mu)) \sim -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a}$$

or equivalently

$$(3.47) \quad \text{Log} \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} - \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/3}} I_\mu(u_\mu) \right) \sim -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{a} \quad \text{as } \mu \text{ goes to infinity}$$

that is (1.6). Actually from (3.44) we derive

$$\text{Log} \lambda_\mu = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_\mu)} + \text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu} + \gamma + \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

Therefore, using (3.35) and (3.37)

$$\text{Log} \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} - \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/3}} I_\mu(u_\mu) \right) = -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_\mu)} - \text{Log} \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_\mu)} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right)$$

hence (3.47), since  $H(y_\mu)$  goes to  $a$  as  $\mu$  goes to infinity. Moreover, from Proposition 3.2 we know that  $\lambda_\mu \sim \frac{|u_\mu|_\infty^2}{\sqrt{3}}$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\text{Log} |u_\mu|_\infty \sim \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{H(y_\mu)} \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty$$

whence (1.7).

### 3.5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We choose  $A', A < A' \leq A + 1$ , such that

$$(3.48) \quad b'_\mu = -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{c} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A'}{c\sqrt{\mu}} \right) < b_\mu$$

is not a critical value of  $L_\mu$ . From the Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory [12] we derive that  $L_\mu$  has at least as many distinct critical points in  $L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu$  as  $\text{cat}(L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu, L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu)$ . On the other hand, we claim that for  $\mu$  large enough

$$(3.49) \quad (L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu) \supset \mathcal{F}_\mu = \{(\lambda(y), y) / H(y) > c_\mu\} \quad c_\mu = c(1 + \frac{A+1}{\mu}).$$

Then

$$\text{cat}(L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu, L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu) \geq \text{cat}(\mathcal{F}_\mu, \mathcal{L}'_\mu)$$

whence

$$\text{cat}(L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu, L_\mu^{b'_\mu} \cap \mathcal{L}'_\mu) \geq \text{cat}(H_{c_\mu}, H_c).$$

Indeed, if  $\mathcal{F}_\mu$  may be covered by  $k$  closed sets  $F_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , each one contractible in  $\mathcal{L}'_\mu$ ,  $H_{c_\mu}$  is covered by the  $k$  closed sets  $G_i = \{y \in H_c / \exists \lambda \in [e^{\sqrt{\mu}/H}, e^{3\sqrt{\mu}/c}], (\lambda, y) \in F_i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , each one contractible in  $H_c$ . As shown previously, each critical point of  $L_\mu$  in  $\mathcal{L}'_\mu$  provides us with a solution of  $(P)$ . Therefore, for  $\mu$  large enough,  $(P)$  has at least as many distinct solutions as  $\text{cat}(H_{c_\mu}, H_c)$  - hence Theorem 1.4.

**Proof of (3.49).** From (3.37) and (3.40), we know that for any  $y \in H_{c_\mu}$

$$L_\mu(\lambda(y), y) \leq -\frac{H(y)}{\lambda(y)} < -\frac{c_\mu}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \exp \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{c_\mu} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{c_\mu\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

Then

$$L_\mu(\lambda(y), y) < b'_\mu \frac{c_\mu}{c} \exp \left( 2\sqrt{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{c_\mu} \right) - \frac{A}{c_\mu \sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{A'}{c \sqrt{\mu}} \right)$$

and the announced result follows, since

$$\frac{c_\mu}{c} \exp \left( 2\sqrt{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{c_\mu} \right) - \frac{A}{c_\mu \sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{A'}{c \sqrt{\mu}} \right) = 1 + \frac{2 + A - A'}{c \sqrt{\mu}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{3/2}}\right)$$

as  $\mu$  goes to infinity, and  $2 + A - A' \geq 1$ .

## APPENDIX

### A Proof of Proposition 2.1

The equivalent of Proposition 2.1 has been proved in [23], following an argument by Bahri and Coron [8] with, instead of  $V_{\lambda,y,\mu}$ ,  $B_{\varepsilon,\mu}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon,\mu}$  defined by (2.3), (2.1) and (2.2),  $U_{\lambda,y}$ ,  $B_\varepsilon$ ,  $V_\varepsilon$  respectively, where  $U_{\lambda,y}$  is given by (1.9) with  $n = 3$  and

$$B_\varepsilon = \{(\alpha, \lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega / \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} < \alpha < 2\bar{\alpha}, \lambda > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}$$

and

$$V_\varepsilon = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) / \exists(\lambda, y) \in \partial\Omega, \lambda > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, |\nabla(u - \bar{\alpha}U_{\lambda,y})|_2 < \varepsilon\}.$$

We are going to use the same kind of arguments as in [23], with the suitable modifications. We first state the following :

**Lemma A.1** *Let  $(\varepsilon_m)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that  $\varepsilon_m$  goes to zero as  $m$  goes to infinity, and  $(\alpha_m, \lambda_m, y_m) \in B_{\varepsilon_m,\mu}$ ,  $(\alpha'_m, \lambda'_m, y'_m) \in B_{\varepsilon_m,\mu}$  such that*

$$(A.1) \quad |\nabla(\alpha_m V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu} - \alpha'_m V_{\lambda'_m, y'_m, \mu})|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then

$$\alpha_m - \alpha'_m \rightarrow 0 \quad \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda'_m} \rightarrow 1 \quad \lambda_m \lambda'_m |y_m - y'_m|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

**Proof.** From the integral estimates of Appendix C - see (C.42) (C.43) - we know that

$$(A.2) \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla U_{\lambda,y}|^2 = \frac{3\pi^2}{8} + o(1) \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi_{\lambda,y,\mu}|^2 = o(1)$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ . As  $\lambda_m, \lambda'_m$  are larger than  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon_m}$ , with  $\varepsilon_m$  going to zero, (A.1) implies that

$$|\nabla(\alpha_m U_{\lambda_m, y_m} - \alpha'_m U_{\lambda'_m, y'_m})|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then, the same arguments as in [23] [8] apply and the conclusion follows.

The next result is :

**Lemma A.2** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , and any  $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, \mu}$*

$$\inf_{(\alpha, \lambda, y) \in B_{4\varepsilon, \mu}} |\nabla(u - \alpha V_{\lambda, y, \mu})|_2$$

*is achieved for some  $(\alpha, \lambda, y) \in B_{2\varepsilon, \mu}$ , and is not achieved in  $B_{4\varepsilon, \mu} \setminus B_{2\varepsilon, \mu}$ .*

**Proof.** In a first step, we prove that the infimum is achieved in  $\overline{B_{4\varepsilon, \mu}}$ , and in a second step that it cannot be achieved in  $\overline{B_{4\varepsilon, \mu}} \setminus B_{2\varepsilon, \mu}$ , for  $\varepsilon$  small enough.

Step 1. Let  $(\alpha^k, \lambda^k, y^k)$  be a minimizing sequence in  $B_{4\varepsilon, \mu}$ . Up to a subsequence, we may assume

$$\alpha^k \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} \quad \lambda^k \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} \quad y^k \rightarrow \tilde{y} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty$$

with  $\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} \leq \tilde{\alpha} \leq 2\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{4\varepsilon} \leq \tilde{\lambda} \leq +\infty$ ,  $\tilde{y} \in \partial\Omega$ . The only thing to prove is that  $\tilde{\lambda} < +\infty$ , provided that  $\varepsilon$  is small enough. Arguing by contradiction, let us assume the existence of a sequence  $(\varepsilon_m)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ ,  $\varepsilon_m$  going to zero as  $m$  goes to infinity, a sequence  $(u_m)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  with  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ , and a sequence of minimizing sequences  $(\alpha_m^k, \lambda_m^k, y_m^k)_k$  in  $B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}$  such that for any  $m$ ,  $\lambda_m^k$  goes to infinity as  $k$  goes to infinity.  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_m, \mu}$  means the existence of  $\lambda_m > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon_m}$ ,  $y_m \in \partial\Omega$  and  $y_m \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$u_m = \bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu} + v_m \quad |\nabla v_m|_2 < \varepsilon_m.$$

$(\bar{\alpha}, \lambda_m, y_m) \in B_{\varepsilon_m, \mu} \subset B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ . Therefore, the infimum that we are interested in on  $B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}$  is strictly less than  $\varepsilon_m$ , and  $(\alpha_m^k, \lambda_m^k, y_m^k)_k$  being a minimizing sequence, for  $k$  large enough, say  $k \geq K(m)$ , we have

$$|\nabla(\bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu} + v_m - \alpha_m^k V_{\lambda_m^k, y_m^k, \mu})|_2 < \varepsilon_m$$

whence

$$|\nabla(\bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu} - \alpha_m^k V_{\lambda_m^k, y_m^k, \mu})|_2 < 2\varepsilon_m.$$

From Lemma A.1 we infer the existence of  $M$  such that, for any  $m \geq M$  and any  $k \geq K(m)$

$$\frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_m^k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

a contradiction with  $\lambda_m^k$  going to infinity as  $k$  goes to infinity. Hence the first step.

Step 2. We still argue by contradiction. Let us assume the existence of a sequence  $(\varepsilon_m)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  converging to zero, and a sequence  $(u_m)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ , such that the infimum of  $|\nabla(u_m - \alpha V_{\lambda, y, \mu})|_2$ , for  $(\alpha, \lambda, y) \in B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ , is achieved in  $\overline{B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}} \setminus B_{2\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ . Consequently, there exist  $\lambda_m > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon_m}$  and  $y_m \in \partial\Omega$  such that

$$|\nabla(u_m - \bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu})|_2 < \varepsilon_m$$

and, since  $(\bar{\alpha}, \lambda_m, y_m) \in B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ , some  $(\alpha'_m, \lambda'_m, y'_m) \in \overline{B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}} \setminus B_{2\varepsilon_m, \mu}$  such that

$$|\nabla (u_m - \alpha'_m V_{\lambda'_m, y'_m, \mu})|_2 < \varepsilon_m .$$

Therefore

$$|\nabla (\bar{\alpha} V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu} - \alpha'_m V_{\lambda'_m, y'_m, \mu})|_2 < 2\varepsilon_m$$

and Lemma A.1 implies that  $\lambda_m/\lambda'_m$  goes to 1 as  $m$  goes infinity. As  $\lambda_m > \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\varepsilon_m}$  and  $\lambda'_m \leq \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\varepsilon_m}$ , this is a contradiction, hence the second step and Lemma A.2.

**Proof of Proposition 2.1** completed. Once again, we argue by contradiction. If Proposition 2.1 is false, we derive from Lemma A.2 the existence of a sequence  $(\varepsilon_m)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ , converging to zero, a sequence  $(u_m)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ , and sequences  $(\alpha_m, \lambda_m, y_m)$  and  $(\alpha'_m, \lambda'_m, y'_m)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \partial\Omega$  such that for any  $m$ ,  $(\alpha_m, \lambda_m, y_m)$  and  $(\alpha'_m, \lambda'_m, y'_m)$  are in  $B_{2\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ ,  $(\alpha_m, \lambda_m, y_m) \neq (\alpha'_m, \lambda'_m, y'_m)$ , and

$$\inf_{(\alpha, \lambda, y) \in B_{4\varepsilon_m, \mu}} |\nabla(u_m - \alpha V_{\lambda, y, \mu})|_2 = |\nabla(u_m - \alpha_m V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu})|_2 = |\nabla(u_m - \alpha'_m V_{\lambda'_m, y'_m, \mu})|_2 .$$

We may write

$$(A.3) \quad u_m = \alpha_m V_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu} + v_m = \alpha'_m V_{\lambda'_m, y'_m, \mu} + v'_m$$

with  $v_m \in E_{\lambda_m, y_m, \mu}$ ,  $v'_m \in E_{\lambda'_m, y'_m, \mu}$ , and, since  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_m, \mu}$ ,  $|\nabla v_m|_2 < \varepsilon_m$  and  $|\nabla v'_m|_2 < \varepsilon_m$ . We set

$$a_m = \alpha_m - \alpha'_m \quad b_m = \frac{\lambda'_m}{\lambda_m} - 1 \quad c_m = \lambda'_m (y_m - y'_m) .$$

Lemma A.1 shows that  $a_m, b_m, c_m$  go to zero as  $m$  goes to infinity. We are going to prove that for  $m$  large enough,  $a_m = 0, b_m = 0, c_m = 0$  - a contradiction with  $(\alpha_m, \lambda_m, y_m) \neq (\alpha'_m, \lambda'_m, y'_m)$ , hence the desired conclusion. Omitting the index  $m$ , and  $V$  denoting  $V_{\lambda, y, \mu}$ ,  $V'$  denoting  $V_{\lambda', y', \mu}$ , (A.3) implies

$$(A.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\alpha V - \alpha' V') \cdot \nabla V = \int_{\Omega} \nabla(v' - v) \cdot \nabla V = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v' \cdot \nabla(V - V')$$

since  $v \in E_{\lambda, y, \mu}$ ,  $v' \in E_{\lambda', y', \mu}$ , whence, as  $m$  goes to infinity

$$(A.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\alpha V - \alpha' V') \cdot \nabla V = o \left( \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(V - V')|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) .$$

However, we may also write

$$(A.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\alpha V - \alpha' V') \cdot \nabla V = a \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V|^2 + \alpha' \int_{\Omega} \nabla(V - V') \cdot \nabla V$$

We claim that

$$(A.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(V - V') \cdot \nabla V = o(|b| + |c|) .$$

Then, we have also

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(V - V')|^2 = o(|b| + |c|)$$

and from (A.5), (A.6) and (A.2) we deduce that

$$a = o(|b| + |c|) .$$

Treating in the same way the quantities

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\alpha V - \alpha' V') \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial \lambda} , \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\alpha V - \alpha' V') \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau_i} \quad i = 1, 2$$

we obtain

$$b = o(|a| + |c|) \quad c = o(|a| + |b|)$$

whence, for  $m$  large enough,  $a_m = 0$  ,  $b_m = 0$  ,  $c_m = 0$ , as announced.

It only remains to prove (A.7). In [23] it is proved that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(U - U') \cdot \nabla U = o(|b| + |c|) .$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(V - V') \cdot \nabla V = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(U - U') \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\varphi - \varphi') \cdot \nabla V + o(|b| + |c|) .$$

with  $\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda, y, \mu}$ ,  $\varphi' = \varphi_{\lambda', y', \mu}$ . Easy computations show - see [23] - that

$$(A.8) \quad |\nabla(U - U')| = O \left( (|b| + |c|) |\nabla U| + |c| \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x - y|^2)^{3/2}} \right) .$$

On the other hand

$$(A.9) \quad \nabla \varphi = \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x-y|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}(x-y)}}{\lambda^{1/2}|x-y|^3} (x-y)$$

so that

$$(A.10) \quad |\nabla \varphi| = O \left( \inf \left( \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}|x-y|^2} \right) \right) \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^3 .$$

Using (A.8) and (A.10), straightforward computations yield

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(U - U') \cdot \nabla \varphi = O \left( (|b| + |c|) \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \right)$$

so that

$$(A.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(U - U') \cdot \nabla \varphi = o(|b| + |c|) .$$

The last integral to estimate is  $\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\varphi - \varphi') \cdot \nabla V$ . Because of (A.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\varphi - \varphi') \cdot \nabla V &= O \left( \int_{|x-y| \leq \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{1/2}} \left( \frac{\lambda^{5/2}|x|}{(1+\lambda^2|x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right) dx \right) \\ &= O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |c|^3 + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\frac{\lambda|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \frac{r^3 dr}{(1+r^2)^{3/2}} \right) \\ &= O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |c| \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $|x - y| \geq \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}}$ , we have

$$|x - y'| = |x - y| + O\left(\frac{|c|}{\lambda}\right)$$

and from (A.9) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \varphi' &= \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x-y|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}|c|\right)}{\lambda^{1/2}|x-y|^3} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 + O(|b| + \frac{|c|}{\lambda|x-y|}))(x - y + O(\frac{|c|}{\lambda})). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, noticing that  $1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x-y|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|} = O(\mu|x-y|^2)$ , we may write for  $|x - y| \leq \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}}$

$$\nabla(\varphi - \varphi') = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}|x-y|^3} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |c||x-y| + \mu|b||x-y|^3 + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} |c||x-y|^2 \right) \right)$$

and noticing that  $|1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x-y|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x-y|}| \leq 1$ , we have for  $|x - y| \geq \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}}$

$$\nabla(\varphi - \varphi') = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}|x-y|^3} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |c||x-y| + |b||x-y| + \frac{|c|}{\lambda} \right) \right).$$

Therefore, using also (A.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\varphi - \varphi') \cdot \nabla V \\ &= O \left( \int_{\frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}} \leq |x-y| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}|x|^3} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |c||x| + \mu|b||x|^3 + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} |c||x|^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \left( \frac{\lambda^{5/2}|x|}{(1+\lambda^2|x|^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right) dx \right) \\ &= O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}} \int_{\lambda|c|/\sqrt{\mu}}^{\lambda/\sqrt{\mu}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} |c| + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^3} |b|r^2 + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} |c|r \right) \left( \frac{\lambda^{3/2}r}{(1+r^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right) dr \right) \\ &= O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} (|b| + |c|) \right) \end{aligned}$$



and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\varphi - \varphi') \cdot \nabla V \\
&= O \left( \int_{|x| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |x|^3} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |c| |x| + |b| |x| + \frac{|c|}{\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda^{5/2} |x|}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} |x|^2} \right) dx \right) \\
&= O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}} \int_{\lambda/\sqrt{\mu}}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu} |c|}{\lambda^2} r + \frac{|b|}{\lambda} r + \frac{|c|}{\lambda} \right) \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{r^2} \frac{dr}{r} \right) \\
&= O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |b| + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} |c| \right) .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting these results, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\varphi - \varphi') \cdot \nabla V = o(|b| + |c|)$$

and the proof of (A.7) is complete.

## B The coercivity of $Q$ .

Our aim in this section is to prove Lemma 3.2. From (3.2) we know that for  $v \in E_{\lambda, y, \mu}$

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} V v = O \left( \frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\lambda^{1/2}} \|v\| \right)$$

and from (3.12) (3.13) (3.14) (3.15) (3.17) (3.18) (3.2)

$$\int_{\Omega} V^5 v = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} \mu^{1/4}} \|v\| \right) .$$

In view of the definition (3.5) of  $Q$  and taking account of (3.11), we obtain

$$Q(v) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2/3}} \left( \|v\|^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} V^4 v^2 \right) + o(\|v\|^2) \quad \text{as } \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 0 .$$

We write

$$\int_{\Omega} V^4 v^2 = \int_{\Omega} U^4 v^2 + O \left( \int_{\Omega} U^3 \varphi v^2 + \int_{\Omega} \varphi^4 v^2 \right) .$$

On one hand

$$\int_{\Omega} U^3 \varphi v^2 \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} U^{9/2} \varphi^{3/2} \right)^{2/3} |v|_{H^1}^2 = O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} |v|_{H^1}^2 \right)$$

according to (C.50), and on the other hand

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi^4 v^2 \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi^6 \right)^{2/3} |v|_{H^1}^2 = O \left( \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} |v|_{H^1}^2 \right)$$

according to (C.12). Therefore

$$Q(v) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2/3}} \left( \|v\|^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} U^4 v^2 \right) + o(\|v\|^2) \quad \text{as } \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$$

and we are led to prove the coercivity of the quadratic form

$$\tilde{Q}(v) = \|v\|^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} U^4 v^2 + o(\|v\|^2) \quad v \in E_{\lambda,y,\mu}.$$

Adapting to the Neumann case the arguments of [7] [21], it is proved in [4, Lemma 3.4] that

$$(B.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \omega \int_{\Omega} v^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} U^4 v^2 \geq \rho \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \omega \int_{\Omega} v^2 \right) \quad \forall v \in E_{\lambda,y}$$

provided that  $\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\lambda}$  is small enough, with  $\rho$  a strictly positive constant and

$$E_{\lambda,y} = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) / \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla U = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i} = 0, i = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

Let us deduce from this result the coercivity of  $\tilde{Q}$ , provided that  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  is small enough. Let  $v \in E_{\lambda,y,\mu}$ . We write

$$(B.2) \quad v = w + z \quad w \in E_{\lambda,y} \quad z \in \text{Vect} \left( U, \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i}, i = 1, 2 \right)$$

that is

$$(B.3) \quad z = aU + b \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} + \sum_{i=1,2} c_i \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i} \quad a, b, c_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We multiply the gradient of (B.2) by  $\nabla U, \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda}, \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i}$  respectively, and integrate over  $\Omega$ . On the left hand side, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla U = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi = O \left( \frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |\nabla v|_2 \right)$$

since  $v \in E_{\lambda,y,\mu}$  and using (C.43). In the same way

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda} = O \left( \frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\lambda^{3/2}} |\nabla v|_2 \right)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i} = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau_i} = O\left(\frac{\mu^{3/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |\nabla v|_2\right)$$

since  $|\nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda}|_2 = O(\frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\lambda^{3/2}})$  and  $|\nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau_i}| = O(\frac{\mu^{3/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}})$ , as easy computations show. Concerning the right hand side, we have - see [23, Appendix D]

$$(B.4) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 = \frac{3\pi^2}{8} + O(\frac{\text{Log } \lambda}{\lambda^2}) & \int_{\Omega} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} = O(\frac{\text{Log } \lambda}{\lambda^2}) \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda}|^2 = \frac{15\pi^2}{128\lambda^2} + O(\frac{\text{Log } \lambda}{\lambda^3}) & \int_{\Omega} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i} = O(\frac{\text{Log } \lambda}{\lambda}) \\ \int_{\Omega} \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_j} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_j} = \frac{15\pi^2}{128} \lambda^2 \delta_{ij} + O(\lambda) & \int_{\Omega} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} = O(\frac{\text{Log } \lambda}{\lambda^2}) \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $a, b, c_1, c_2$  satisfy a  $4 \times 4$  linear system which is nearly diagonal and whose inversion provides us with the estimates

$$(B.5) \quad a = O\left(\frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |\nabla v|_2\right) \quad b = O\left(\mu^{1/4} \sqrt{\lambda} |\nabla v|_2\right) \quad c_i = O\left(\frac{\mu^{3/4}}{\lambda^{5/2}} |\nabla v|_2\right).$$

From (B.3) (B.4) (B.5) we deduce that

$$|\nabla z|_2 = O\left(\frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |\nabla v|_2\right).$$

Straightforward computations show that

$$(B.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} U^2 = O(\frac{1}{\lambda}) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda})^2 = O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau_i})^2 = O(1)$$

whence, using (B.3) and (B.5)

$$|z|_2 = O\left(\frac{\mu^{1/4}}{\lambda} |\nabla v|_2\right).$$

For  $h \in H^1(\Omega)$ , we denote by  $\|h\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}$  the quantity

$$\|h\|_{\sqrt{\mu}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 + \sqrt{\mu} \int_{\Omega} h^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\|z\|_{\sqrt{\mu}} = o(\|v\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}) \quad \|v\|_{\sqrt{\mu}} = \|w\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}(1 + o(1))$$

as  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} U^4 v^2 &= \|w\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} U^4 w^2 + O(\|w\|_{\sqrt{\mu}} \|z\|_{\sqrt{\mu}} + \|z\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2) \\ &\geq \rho \|w\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2 + o(\|w\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2) \\ &\geq \rho/2 \|v\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\mu$  is large enough and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  is small enough, because of (B.1) with  $\omega = \sqrt{\mu}$ . Finally, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}(v) &= \|v\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2 - 15 \int_{\Omega} U^4 v^2 + (\mu - \sqrt{\mu}) \int_{\Omega} v^2 \\ &\geq \rho/2 \|v\|_{\sqrt{\mu}}^2 + (\mu - \sqrt{\mu}) \int_{\Omega} v^2 \\ &\geq \rho' \|v\|^2\end{aligned}$$

with  $\rho' = \min(\frac{\rho}{2}, 1 - \frac{1-\rho/2}{\sqrt{\mu}}) > 0$  for  $\mu$  large enough, and Lemma 2.2 is proved.

## C Estimates

In this last section we collect the different integral estimates which occur in the proof of the theorems. Assuming that  $y \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\mu$  goes to infinity and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero, we establish the following asymptotic expansions :

$$(C.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla V_{\lambda,y,\mu}|^2 = \frac{3\pi^2}{8} - 3\pi \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \pi \frac{H(y)}{\lambda} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}\right)$$

$$(C.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} V_{\lambda,y,\mu}^2 = \frac{\pi}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} - \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{H(y)}{\lambda\mu} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\mu^{3/2}}\right)$$

$$(C.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} V_{\lambda,y,\mu}^6 = \frac{\pi^2}{8} - 4\pi \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{H(y)}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

where  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler constant. From this we deduce

$$(C.4) \quad K_{\mu}(\lambda, y, 0) = 2\pi^{1/3} \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} + 2 \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \frac{H(y)}{\lambda} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \right) \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

**Proof.** Up to a translation and a rotation of the coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we can assume that  $y = 0$ , and that for  $R > 0$  small enough

$$(C.5) \quad \Omega \cap B(0, R) = \{x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} / |x| < R, x_3 > f(x')\}$$

with

$$(C.6) \quad f(x') = f_1 x_1^2 + f_2 x_2^2 + O(|x'|^2) \quad |x'| < R.$$

Note that we have

$$(C.7) \quad H(0) = f_1 + f_2$$

and we choose some  $R' > 0$  such that

$$(C.8) \quad \Omega \subset B(0, R') .$$

Let us begin with the proof of (C.3). It follows from the definition (2.3) of  $V_{\lambda,0,\mu} = V$  that

$$(C.9) \quad V(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}|x|} + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda^{1/2}|x|}\right) \text{ uniformly for } |x| > T > 0 .$$

Therefore

$$(C.10) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B_R^c} V^6 = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{15}} + \frac{e^{-6R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda^3\sqrt{\mu}}\right) .$$

For  $x \in B_R$ , we write (with  $U = U_{\lambda,0}, \varphi = \varphi_{\lambda,0,\mu}$ )

$$(C.11) \quad V^6 = U^6 - 6U^5\varphi + O(U^4\varphi^2 + \varphi^6) .$$

The last terms are easy to estimate. Namely, we have

$$(C.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varphi^6 \leq \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^3} \int_0^{R'} \frac{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r})^6}{r^4} dr = \frac{4\pi\mu^{3/2}}{\lambda^3} \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu}R'} \frac{(1 - e^{-t})^6}{t^4} dt = O\left(\frac{\mu^{3/2}}{\lambda^3}\right)$$

and

$$(C.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} U^4\varphi^2 \leq 4\pi \int_0^{R'} \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r})^2}{(1 + \lambda^2r^2)^2} dr \leq \frac{4\pi\mu}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\lambda R'} \frac{t^2}{(1 + t^2)} dt = O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

so that

$$(C.14) \quad \int_{\Omega} (U^4\varphi^2 + \varphi^6) = O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2}\right) .$$

Concerning the remaining terms, we note that for  $W$  a function defined in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we have

$$(C.15) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} W = \int_{B_R^+} W - \int_{\omega'} W + \int_{\omega''} W$$

with

$$(C.16) \quad \begin{cases} B_R^+ = \{x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, |x| < R, x_3 > 0\} \\ \omega' = \{x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, |x| < R, 0 < x_3 < f(x')\} \\ \omega'' = \{x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, |x| < R, f(x') < x_3 < 0\} . \end{cases}$$

Let  $W = U^6$ . We compute

$$\int_{B_R^+} U^6 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} U^6 = 2\pi \int_0^{\lambda R} \frac{r^2}{(1 + r^2)^3} dr = 2\pi \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^2}{(1 + r^2)^3} dr + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)$$

that is

$$(C.17) \quad \int_{B_R^+} U^6 = \frac{\pi^2}{8} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right).$$

Let  $a$  be a strictly positive constant such that

$$(C.18) \quad \Delta_a = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^2 / |x'| < a\}$$

and we denote by  $L_a$  the cylinder

$$(C.19) \quad L_a = \{x = (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} / x' \in \Delta_a\}.$$

Then we may write

$$(C.20) \quad \int_{\omega'} U^6 - \int_{\omega''} U^6 = \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} U^6 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} U^6 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)$$

since, outside  $L_a$ ,  $U = O(\lambda^{-1/2})$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} U^6 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} U^6 &= \int_{\Delta_a} \left( \int_0^{f(x')} \frac{\lambda^3}{(1 + \lambda^2|x|^2)^3} dx_3 \right) dx' \\ &= \int_{\Delta_a} \frac{\lambda^2}{(1 + \lambda^2|x'|^2)^{5/2}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{\lambda f(x')}{(1 + \lambda^2|z|^2)^{1/2}}} \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2)^3} \right) dx' \\ &= \int_{\Delta_a} \left( \frac{\lambda^3 f(x')}{(1 + \lambda^2|x'|^2)^3} + O\left(\frac{\lambda^5 |f(x')|^3}{(1 + \lambda^2|x'|^2)^4}\right) \right) dx' \end{aligned}$$

noticing that

$$(C.21) \quad \int_0^\alpha \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2)^\beta} = \alpha + O(\alpha^3) \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0, \beta > \frac{1}{2}.$$

In view of (C.6) (C.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} U^6 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} U^6 &= \lambda^3 \sum_{i=1,2} f_i \int_{\Delta_a} \frac{x_i^2 dx'}{(1 + \lambda^2|x'|^2)^3} + O\left(\int_{\Delta_a} \frac{\lambda^3 |x'|^3}{(1 + \lambda^2|x'|^2)^3} dx'\right) \\ &= \frac{H(0)}{2\lambda} \int_{\lambda \Delta_a} \frac{|x'|^2 dx'}{(1 + |x'|^2)^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\lambda \Delta_a} \frac{|x'|^3 dx'}{(1 + |x'|^2)^3}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^3 dr}{(1 + r^2)^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that finally

$$(C.22) \quad \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} U^6 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} U^6 = \frac{\pi H(0)}{4\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right).$$

Lastly, in view of (C.11) and (C.15), with  $W = U^5\varphi$ , we compute

$$\int_{B_R^+} U^5\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} U^5\varphi = 2\pi\lambda^2 \int_0^R \frac{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r})r}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{5/2}} dr = 2\pi \int_0^{\lambda R} \frac{(1 - e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}r})r}{(1 + r^2)^{5/2}} dr.$$

Writing  $1 - e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}r} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}r + O(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2}r^2)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+} U^5\varphi &= \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda R} \frac{r^2 dr}{(1 + r^2)^{5/2}} + O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\lambda R} \frac{r^3 dr}{(1 + r^2)^{5/2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^2 dr}{(1 + r^2)^{5/2}} + O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(C.23) \quad \int_{B_R^+} U^5\varphi = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{3\lambda} + O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2}\right).$$

Outside  $L_a$ ,  $U^5\varphi = O(1/\lambda^3)$ . Therefore

$$(C.24) \quad \int_{\omega'} U^5\varphi - \int_{\omega''} U^5\varphi = \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} U^5\varphi - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} U^5\varphi + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)$$

and we have, since  $U^5\varphi \leq \frac{\lambda^2\sqrt{\mu}}{(1+\lambda^2|x|^2)^{5/2}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} U^5\varphi - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} U^5\varphi &= O\left(\lambda^2\sqrt{\mu} \int_{\Delta_a} \frac{|f(x')|}{(1 + \lambda^2|x'|^2)^{5/2}} dx'\right) \\ &= O\left(\lambda^2\sqrt{\mu} \int_0^a \frac{r^3 dr}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{5/2}}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This result, together with (C.10) (C.11) (C.14) (C.15) (C.17) (C.22) (C.23) (C.24), completes the proof of (C.3).

We turn now to the proof of (C.2). According to (C.9), we know that

$$(C.25) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B_R^c} V^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^5} + \frac{e^{-2R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}\right)$$

On  $\Omega \cap B_R$ , we still use (C.15) with  $W = V^2$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+} V^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} V^2 = 2\pi \int_0^R \left( \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r}}{\lambda^{1/2}r} \right)^2 r^2 dr \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\lambda R} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + r^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}r}}{r} \right)^2 r^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_R^+} V^2 &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^2} \left( \int_1^{\lambda R} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} r}}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right) \right)^2 r^2 dr + O(1) \right) \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^2} \left( \int_1^{\lambda R} \left( e^{-2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} r} + O\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} r}}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^4}\right) \right) dr + O(1) \right) \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \left( e^{-2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}} - e^{-2R\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + O(1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(C.26) \quad \int_{B_R^+} V^2 = \frac{\pi}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{e^{-2R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}\right).$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{\omega'} V^2 - \int_{\omega''} V^2 = \int_{\omega' \cap L_a} V^2 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} V^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^5} + \frac{e^{-2a\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}\right)$$

because of (C.9). We notice that  $V = O(\lambda^{1/2})$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and

$$V(x) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda^{1/2}|x|} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}|x|^3}\right) \quad \text{for } |x| > \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\omega' \cap L_a} V^2 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} V^2 \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda} < |x'| < a} \left( \int_0^{f(x')} \left( \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda^{1/2}|x|} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}|x|^3}\right) \right)^2 dx_3 \right) dx' + O\left(\lambda \int_{|x'| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}} |f(x')| dx'\right) \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda} < |x'| \leq a} \left( \int_0^{f(x')} \left( \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda|x|^2} + O\left(\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda^3|x|^4} + \frac{1}{\lambda^5|x|^6}\right) \right) dx_3 \right) dx' \\
&\quad + O\left(\lambda \int_{|x'| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}} |x'|^2 dx'\right)
\end{aligned}$$

because of (C.6). Since  $|x'| \leq |x|$  and, using (C.6)

$$(C.27) \quad |x| = |x'| (1 + O(|x'|^2)) \quad e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} = e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x'|} (1 + O(\sqrt{\mu}|x'|^3)) \quad \text{for } x \in (\omega' \cup \omega'')$$

we obtain



$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\omega' \cap L_a} V^2 - \int_{\omega'' \cap L_a} V^2 &= \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda} < |x'| < a} \left( \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}}{\lambda|x'|^2} f(x') + O \left( \left( \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}}{\lambda|x'|^2} (|x'|^2 + \sqrt{\mu}|x'|^3) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}}{\lambda^3|x'|^4} + \frac{1}{\lambda^5|x'|^6} \right) |f(x')| \right) \right) dx' + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1,2} f_i \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda} < |x'| < a} \frac{e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|} x_i^2}{|x'|^2} dx' \\
&\quad + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^a \left( e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}r} (r^2 + \sqrt{\mu}r^4) + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r}}{\lambda^2 r} + \frac{1}{\lambda^4 r^3} \right) dr + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \right) \\
&= \frac{H(0)}{2\lambda} \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda} < |x'| < a} e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|} dx' + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda\mu^{3/2}} \int_{\frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}}^{2a\sqrt{\mu}} e^{-t} \left( t^2 + \frac{t^4}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_{\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}}^{a\sqrt{\mu}} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi H(0)}{4\lambda\mu} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r} r dr - \int_0^{2\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}} e^{-r} r dr - \int_{2a\sqrt{\mu}}^{+\infty} e^{-r} r dr \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda\mu^{3/2}} + \frac{\text{Log}\lambda}{\lambda^3} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi H(0)}{4\lambda\mu} + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda\mu^{3/2}} + \frac{e^{-2a\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

hence, collecting this result with (C.25) (C.15) (C.26), we find (C.2).

We prove now (C.1). According to the definition (2.3) of  $V$ , we have

$$(C.28) \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(x) = -\frac{\lambda^{5/2} x_i}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|}) x_i}{\lambda^{1/2} |x|^3}$$

and so

$$(C.29) \quad |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} - \frac{2\lambda^2 (1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|})}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2} |x|} + \frac{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|})^2}{\lambda |x|^4}.$$

We have

$$(C.30) \quad |\nabla V|^2 = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^5 r^8} + \frac{\mu e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}r}}{\lambda r^2} \right) \quad \text{uniformly for } |x| > T > 0$$

so that

$$(C.31) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B_R^c} |\nabla V|^2 = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^5} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu} e^{-2R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda} \right).$$

On  $\Omega \cap B_R$ , in view of (C.15) with  $W = |\nabla V|^2$ , and (C.29), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_R^+} \frac{\lambda^2 |x|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} dx &= 2\pi \int_0^{\lambda R} \frac{r^4 dr}{(1 + r^2)^3} \\
&= 2\pi \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^4 dr}{(1 + r^2)^3} - 2\pi \int_{\lambda R}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{1 + r^2} + O\left(\int_{\lambda R}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{(1 + r^2)^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{3\pi^2}{8} - 2\pi \operatorname{Arctg} \frac{1}{\lambda R} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \\
&= \frac{3\pi^2}{8} - \frac{2\pi}{\lambda R} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right) \\
\int_{B_R^+} \frac{2\lambda^2(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|})}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2} |x|} dx &= 2\pi \int_0^R \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r} - \sqrt{\mu}re^{-\sqrt{\mu}r}}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{3/2}} 2\lambda^2 r dr \\
&= 2\pi \left( \frac{-2(1 - e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}} - R\sqrt{\mu}e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}})}{(1 + \lambda^2 R^2)^{1/2}} + 2\mu \int_0^R \frac{re^{-\sqrt{\mu}r}}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{1/2}} dr \right) \\
&= 2\pi \left( -\frac{2}{\lambda R} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{2\mu}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\lambda R} \frac{te^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}t}}{(1 + t^2)^{1/2}} dt \right) \\
&= 2\pi \left( -\frac{2}{\lambda R} + \frac{2\mu}{\lambda^2} \left( \int_0^{\lambda R} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}t} dt \right) \right) + O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda}\right) \\
&= -\frac{4\pi}{\lambda R} + \frac{4\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda}\right) \\
\int_{B_R^+} \frac{(1 - e^{\sqrt{\mu}|x|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x|e^{\sqrt{\mu}|x|})^2}{\lambda |x|^4} dx &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \int_0^R \frac{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r} - \sqrt{\mu}re^{-\sqrt{\mu}r})^2}{r^2} dr \\
&= \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \int_0^{R\sqrt{\mu}} \frac{(1 - e^{-t} - te^{-t})^2}{t^2} dt \\
&= \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{-t} - te^{-t})^2}{t^2} dt - \int_{R\sqrt{\mu}}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} + O\left(\frac{e^{-t}}{t}\right) \right) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \frac{2\pi}{\lambda R} + O\left(\frac{e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(C.32) \quad \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{3\pi^2}{8} - 3\pi \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}e^{-R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda}\right).$$

To estimate the contribution of the subdomains  $\omega'$  and  $\omega''$  to the result, we consider the following expansions. Let  $S > 0$  such that

$$(C.33) \quad \lambda S \rightarrow +\infty, \quad S\sqrt{\mu} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda, \mu \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \rightarrow 0.$$

According to (C.29), we have

$$(C.34) \quad |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} + O\left(\frac{\lambda^2 \mu |x|}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}\right) \quad \text{for } |x| < 2S$$

just writing  $e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} = 1 - \sqrt{\mu}|x| + O(\mu|x|^2)$ , and

$$(C.35) \quad |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{(1 + 2\sqrt{\mu}|x| + \mu|x|^2)e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda|x|^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^3|x|^6}\right) \quad \text{for } |x| > S$$

writing  $(1 + \lambda^2|x|^2)^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2\alpha}|x|^{2\alpha}}(1 + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2|x|^2}))$  for  $|x| > S$ . Note that, for  $\mu$  large enough,  $x \in (\omega' \cup \omega'')$ ,  $|x'| \leq S$ , implies  $|x| < 2S$  because of (C.6) and (C.33). In view of (C.34) we compute, using (C.6) and (C.27)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega'_{|x'| \leq S}} \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \mu |x|}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \right) dx &= O\left(\int_0^S \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \mu r}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \right) r^3 dr\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\lambda S} \frac{r^4 dr}{(1 + r^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} S^4\right) \end{aligned}$$

whence, taking account of (C.33)

$$(C.36) \quad \int_{\omega'_{|x'| \leq S}} \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \mu |x|}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \right) dx = O\left(\frac{\mu S^2}{\lambda}\right)$$

and the same holds for  $\omega''$  instead of  $\omega'$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\omega'_{|x'| \leq S}} \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} dx = \lambda^3 \int_{\omega'_{|x'| \leq S}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} \right) dx.$$

The same holds for  $\omega''$  instead of  $\omega'$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\omega'_{|x'| \leq S}} \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} dx - \int_{\omega''_{|x'| \leq S}} \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} \\ &= \lambda^3 \int_{|x'| \leq S} \left( \int_0^{f(x')} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2)^3} \right) dx_3 \right) dx' \\ &= \lambda^2 \int_{|x'| \leq S} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^{3/2}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{\lambda f(x')}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^{1/2}}} \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2)^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^{5/2}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{\lambda f(x')}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^{1/2}}} \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2)^3} \right) \right) dx' \\ &= \lambda^3 \sum_{i=1,2} f_i \int_{|x'| \leq S} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^3} \right) x_i^2 dx' \\ &\quad + O\left(\lambda^3 \int_{|x'| \leq S} \frac{|x'|^3}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x'|^2)^2} dx'\right) \end{aligned}$$

using (C.6) and (C.21). This yields because of (C.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\omega'_{|x'|\leq S}} \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1+\lambda^2|x|^2)^3} dx - \int_{\omega''_{|x'|\leq S}} \frac{\lambda^5 |x|^2}{(1+\lambda^2|x|^2)^3} \\
&= \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda S} \left( \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^3} \right) r^3 dr + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{\lambda S} \frac{r^4 dr}{(1+r^2)^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \left( \int_1^{\lambda S} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_0^1 \frac{r^3 dr}{(1+r^2)^2} + \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \frac{r^3}{(1+r^2)^2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) dr - \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^3 dr}{(1+r^2)^3} \right) \\
&\quad + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3 S^2} + \frac{S}{\lambda} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and finally, taking account of (C.34) (C.36) and (C.33), we obtain

$$(C.37) \quad \int_{\omega'_{|x'|\leq S}} |\nabla V|^2 - \int_{\omega''_{|x'|\leq S}} |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \left( \text{Log} \lambda S - \frac{3}{4} \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3 S^2} + \frac{S}{\lambda} \right).$$

The last terms that we have to estimate are the integrals of  $|\nabla V|^2$  for  $x \in \omega'$  or  $x \in \omega''$ ,  $|x'| > S$ . For  $|x'| \geq a$ , (C.35) shows that  $|\nabla V|^2 = O\left( \frac{\mu e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^3|x|^6} \right)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(C.38) \quad & \int_{\omega'_{|x'|>S}} |\nabla V|^2 - \int_{\omega''_{|x'|>S}} |\nabla V|^2 \\
&= \int_{\omega'_{S<|x'|<a}} |\nabla V|^2 - \int_{\omega''_{S<|x'|<a}} |\nabla V|^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu} e^{-2a\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (C.35) we compute, using (C.6)

$$(C.39) \quad \int_{\omega'_{S<|x'|<a}} \frac{dx}{\lambda^3|x|^6} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_{S<|x'|<a} \frac{dx'}{|x'|^4} = O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3 S^2} \right)$$

and the same holds with  $\omega''$  instead of  $\omega'$ . On the other hand we have, using (C.27), (C.6),

(C.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S < |x'| < a}^{\omega'} \frac{(1 + 2\sqrt{\mu}|x| + \mu|x|^2)e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda|x|^4} dx - \int_{S < |x'| < a}^{\omega''} \frac{(1 + 2\sqrt{\mu}|x| + \mu|x|^2)e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda|x|^4} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{S < |x'| < a} \left( \int_0^{f(x')} \frac{(1 + 2\sqrt{\mu}|x'| + \mu|x'|^2 + O(|x'|^2 + \mu^{3/2}|x'|^5))e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}}{|x'|^4} dx_3 \right) dx' \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1,2} f_i \int_{S < |x'| < a} \frac{(1 + 2\sqrt{\mu}|x'| + \mu|x'|^2)e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}}{|x'|^4} x_i^2 dx' \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{S < |x'| < a} \left(\frac{1}{|x'|} + \sqrt{\mu} + \mu|x'| + \mu^{3/2}|x'|^3\right) e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}|x'|} dx'\right) \\
&= \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^{2\sqrt{\mu}a} \left(\frac{1}{r} + 1 + \frac{r}{4}\right) e^{-r} dr + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^{2\sqrt{\mu}a} \left(1 + r + r^2 + \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) e^{-r} dr\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^{2\sqrt{\mu}a} \frac{e^{-r}}{r} dr &= \int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^1 \frac{dr}{r} + \int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^1 \frac{e^{-r} - 1}{r} dr + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-r}}{r} dr - \int_{2\sqrt{\mu}a}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-r}}{r} dr \\
&= -\text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu}S + \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-r} - 1}{r} dr + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-r}}{r} dr + O\left(\sqrt{\mu}S + \frac{e^{-2a\sqrt{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) \\
&= -\text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu}S - \gamma + O\left(\sqrt{\mu}S + \frac{e^{-2a\sqrt{\mu}}}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \frac{e^{-r} - 1}{r} dr + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-r}}{r} dr &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r} \text{Log} r dr = \Gamma'(1) = -\gamma, \\
\int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^{2\sqrt{\mu}a} \left(1 + \frac{r}{4}\right) e^{-r} dr &= \frac{5}{4} + O\left(\sqrt{\mu}S + \sqrt{\mu}e^{-2a\sqrt{\mu}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{2\sqrt{\mu}S}^{2\sqrt{\mu}a} \left(1 + r + r^2 + \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) e^{-r} dr = O(1).$$

It follows from these results and (C.38) (C.35) (C.39) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(C.40) \quad \int_{S < |x'| < a}^{\omega'} |\nabla V|^2 - \int_{S < |x'| < a}^{\omega''} |\nabla V|^2 &= \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \left(-\text{Log} 2\sqrt{\mu}S - \gamma + \frac{5}{4}\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}S}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda^3 S^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (C.37), we find, choosing  $S = \lambda^{-2/3} \mu^{-1/6}$  so that (C.33) is satisfied

$$(C.41) \quad \int_{\omega'} |\nabla V|^2 - \int_{\omega''} |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{\pi H(0)}{\lambda} \left( \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} - \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\mu}}\right)$$

and, considering (C.15) with  $W = |\nabla V|^2$ , (C.31) and (C.32), (C.1) is proved.

### Remarks

1. Proceeding in the same way, we find

$$(C.42) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U_{\lambda,y}|^2 = \frac{3\pi^2}{8} - \pi H(y) \frac{\text{Log} \lambda}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$$

$$(C.43) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_{\lambda,y,\mu}|^2 = \pi \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} - \pi H(y) \frac{\text{Log} \sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

Estimating further terms in these expansions would involve the whole shape of  $\Omega$ , whereas (C.1) only involves the shape of  $\Omega$  in a neighbourhood of the point  $y \in \partial\Omega$  at which  $V_{\lambda,y,\mu}$  concentrates as  $\lambda, \mu$  go to infinity, because of the stronger decreasing of  $V_{\lambda,\mu,\mu}$  away from this point.

2. The estimates that we obtained may be derivated with respect to  $\lambda$ . Indeed, using the same arguments as previously, we would get

$$(C.44) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla V_{\lambda,y,\mu} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial V_{\lambda,y,\mu}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{3\pi}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^2} (\text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{\mu}}\right)$$

$$(C.45) \quad \int_{\Omega} V_{\lambda,y,\mu} \frac{\partial V_{\lambda,y,\mu}}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\pi}{2\lambda^2 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^2 \mu} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2 \mu^{3/2}}\right)$$

$$(C.46) \quad \int_{\Omega} V_{\lambda,y,\mu}^5 \frac{\partial V_{\lambda,y,\mu}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2\pi}{3} \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\pi}{24} \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^2} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

and

$$(C.47) \quad \frac{\partial K_{\mu}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, y, 0) = 2\pi^{1/3} \left( -2 \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^2} + \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^2} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} - \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^3} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^3} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

In the same way

$$(C.48) \quad \frac{\partial^2 K_{\mu}}{\partial \lambda^2}(\lambda, y, 0) = 4\pi^{1/3} \left( 2 \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^3} - \frac{H(y)}{\lambda^3} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\mu}} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3 \sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda^4} \text{Log} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$$

We end this appendix with some easy estimates which occur in the arguments of Section 3. Still assuming that  $\mu$  goes to infinity and  $\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda}$  goes to zero, we prove :

$$(C.49) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} U_{\lambda,y}^{24/5} \varphi_{\lambda,y,\mu}^{6/5} \right)^{5/6} = O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \right)$$

$$(C.50) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} U_{\lambda,y}^{9/2} \varphi_{\lambda,y,\mu}^{3/2} \right)^{2/3} = O \left( \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\lambda} \right)$$

$$(C.51) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| U_{\lambda,y} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}|x-y|} \right|^{6/5} \right)^{5/6} = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right)$$

$$(C.52) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \right|^{4/3} \right)^{3/4} = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2} \mu^{1/4}} \right)$$

**Proof.** Again, we may assume that  $y = 0$ , and that (C.5) (C.6) (C.8) hold. According to the definitions (1.9) and (2.1) of  $U = U_{\lambda,0}$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda,0,\mu}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} U^{24/5} \varphi^{6/5} &\leq 4\pi \int_0^{R'} \frac{\lambda^{12/5}}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{12/5}} \frac{(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r})^{6/5}}{\lambda^{3/5} r^{6/5}} r^2 dr \\ &\leq 4\pi \lambda^{9/5} \mu^{3/5} \int_0^{R'} \frac{r^2 dr}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{12/5}} \end{aligned}$$

using (C.8) and the inequalities  $0 \leq 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}r} \leq \sqrt{\mu}r$ . Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} U^{24/5} \varphi^{6/5} \leq 4\pi \frac{\mu^{3/5}}{\lambda^{6/5}} \int_0^{\lambda R'} \frac{r^2 dr}{(1 + r^2)^{12/5}}$$

and (C.49) follows. The same kind of computations leads to (C.50). Concerning (C.51), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left| U - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}|x|} \right|^{6/5} &\leq 4\pi \int_0^{R'} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}r} - \frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2 r^2)^{1/2}} \right)^{6/5} r^2 dr \\ &\leq \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^{12/5}} \int_0^{\lambda R'} \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{(1 + r^2)^{1/2}} \right)^{6/5} r^2 dr \end{aligned}$$

hence the result since the integral is bounded as  $\lambda$  goes to infinity. It only remains to prove (C.52). According to (C.28), we see that

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}} + \frac{e^{-\frac{R}{2}\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right) \text{ uniformly on } \Omega \cap B_{R/2}^c, i = 1, 2, 3$$

whence

$$(C.53) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}^c} \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \right|^{4/3} = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{10/3}} + \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}R\sqrt{\mu}}}{\lambda^{2/3}} \right).$$

On  $\partial\Omega \cap B_R$ , using (C.6) and (C.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} &= \frac{1}{(1 + f^2(x'))^{1/2}} \left( \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_3} \right) \\ &= O \left( \left| -\frac{\lambda^{5/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2|x|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|} - \sqrt{\mu}|x|e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|}}{\lambda^{1/2}|x|^3} \right| |x'|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$(C.54) \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} = O \left( \lambda^{5/2}|x'|^2 + \frac{\mu|x'|}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \cap B_R, |x'| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

and, using (C.27)

$$(C.55) \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} = O \left( \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}(1 + \sqrt{\mu}|x'|)}{\lambda^{1/2}|x'|} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}|x'|^3} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \cap B_R, \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq |x'| < \frac{R}{2}$$

assuming that for  $|x'| < \frac{R}{2}$ ,  $|x| = (|x'|^2 + f^2(x'))^{1/2} < R$ , which is true provided that  $R > 0$  is chosen small enough, because of (C.7). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}} \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \right|^{4/3} d\sigma &= O \left( \int_{|x'| \leq 1/\lambda} \left( \lambda^{5/2}|x'|^2 + \frac{\mu|x'|}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right)^{4/3} dx' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{1/\lambda \leq |x'| \leq R/2} \left( \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}(1 + \sqrt{\mu}|x'|)}{\lambda^{1/2}|x'|} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}|x'|^3} \right)^{4/3} dx' \right) \end{aligned}$$

noticing that  $|\frac{d\sigma}{dx'}| = (1 + f'^2(x'))^{1/2} = O(1)$ . On one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x'| \leq 1/\lambda} \left( \lambda^{5/2}|x'|^2 + \frac{\mu|x'|}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right)^{4/3} dx' &= O \left( \int_0^{1/\lambda} (\lambda^{10/3} r^{11/3} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{2/3}} r^{7/3}) dr \right) \\ &= O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{4/3}} \right). \end{aligned}$$



On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1/\lambda \leq |x'| < \frac{R}{2}} \left( \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x'|}(1 + \sqrt{\mu}|x'|)}{\lambda^{1/2}|x'|} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}|x'|^3} \right)^{4/3} dx' \\
&= O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{2/3}} \int_{1/\lambda}^{R/2} e^{-\frac{4}{3}\sqrt{\mu}r} \left( \frac{1}{r^{1/3}} + \mu^{2/3}r \right) dr + \frac{1}{\lambda^{10/3}} \int_{1/\lambda}^{R/2} \frac{dr}{r^3} \right) \\
&= O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{2/3}\mu^{1/3}} \int_{4\sqrt{\mu}/3\lambda}^{2\sqrt{\mu}/3} e^{-t} \left( \frac{1}{t^{1/3}} + t \right) dt + \frac{1}{\lambda^{4/3}} \right) \\
&= O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{2/3}\mu^{1/3}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

so that, finally

$$\int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}} \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu} \right|^{4/3} = O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{2/3}\mu^{1/3}} \right).$$

(C.53) shows that the same estimate holds integrating on whole  $\partial\Omega$ , hence the desired result.

## References

- [1] Adimurthi, G. Mancini - *The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with critical nonlinearity*, "A tribute in honour of G. Prodi", Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (1991), 9-25.
- [2] Adimurthi, G. Mancini - *Geometry and topology of the boundary in the critical Neumann problem*, J. reine angew. Math. **456** (1994), 1-18.
- [3] Adimurthi, G. Mancini, S.L. Yadava - *The role of the mean curvature in semilinear Neumann problem involving critical exponent*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **20** (1995), 591-631.
- [4] Adimurthi, F. Pacella, S.L. Yadava - *Interaction between the geometry of the boundary and positive solutions of a semilinear Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity*, J. Funct. Anal. **113** (1993), 318-350.
- [5] Adimurthi, F. Pacella, S.L. Yadava - *Characterization of concentration points and  $L^\infty$ -estimates for solutions of a semilinear Neumann problem involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, Diff. and Integ. Equ. **8** (1995), 41-68.
- [6] Adimurthi, S.L. Yadava - *Existence and nonexistence of positive radial solutions of Neumann problems with critical Sobolev exponents*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **115** (1991), 275-296.

- [7] A. Bahri - *Critical points at infinity in some variational problems*, Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series **182**, Longman (1989).
- [8] A. Bahri, J.M. Coron - *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent : the effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 255-294.
- [9] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg - *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437-477.
- [10] C. Budd, M.C. Knaap, L.A. Peletier - *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of elliptic equations with critical exponent and Neumann boundary conditions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **117 A** (1991), 225-250.
- [11] P. Cherrier - *Meilleures constantes dans des inégalités relatives aux espaces de Sobolev*, Bull. Sc. math., 2<sup>e</sup> série, **108** (1984), 225-262.
- [12] S.N. Chow, J.K. Hale - *Methods of bifurcation theory*, Grundle. math. Wiss. **251**, Springer, Berlin (1982).
- [13] R. Dautray, J.L. Lions - *Analyse mathématique et calcul numérique 2*, Masson, Paris (1987).
- [14] B. Gidas, J. Spruck - *A priori bounds for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **6** (1981), 883-901.
- [15] E.F. Keller L.A. Segel - *Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability*, J. Theoret. Biol. **26** (1970), 399-415.
- [16] C.S. Lin, W.M. Ni - *On the Diffusion Coefficient of a Semilinear Neumann Problem*, Springer Lecture Notes **1340**, Springer, New York, Berlin (1986).
- [17] C.S. Lin, W.N. Ni, I. Takagi - *Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system*, J. Diff Equ. **72** (1988), 1-27.
- [18] P.L. Lions - *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, The limit case*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **1.1, 1.2** (1985), 145-201 and 45-121.
- [19] H. Meinhardt - *Models of Biological Pattern Formation*, Academic Press, London, New-York (1982).
- [20] W.N. Ni, X.B. Pan, I. Takagi - *Singular behavior of least-energy solutions of a semi-linear Neumann problem involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Duke Math. J. **67** (1992), 1-20.
- [21] O. Rey - *The role of the Green's function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 1-52.

- [22] O. Rey - *Bifurcation from infinity in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the limiting Sobolev exponent*, Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), 815-861.
- [23] O. Rey - *Boundary effect for an elliptic Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **22** (1997), 1055-1139.
- [24] L. Schwartz - *Méthodes mathématiques pour les sciences physiques*, Hermann, Paris (1965).
- [25] X.J. Wang - *Neumann problem of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, J. Diff. Equ. **93** (1991), 283-310.
- [26] Z.Q. Wang - *Remarks on a nonlinear Neumann problem with critical exponent*, Houston J. Math. **20** (1994), 671-684.
- [27] Z.Q. Wang - *The effect of domain geometry on the number of positive solutions of Neumann problems with critical exponents*, Diff. and Integ. Equ. **8** (1995), 1533-1554.
- [28] Z.Q. Wang - *High energy and multi-peaked solutions for a nonlinear Neumann problem with critical exponent*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edimburgh **125 A** (1995), 1003-1029.
- [29] Z.Q. Wang - *Construction of multi-peaked solution for a nonlinear Neumann problem with critical exponent*, J. Nonlinear Anal. TMA **27** (1996), 1281-1306.